

Current status of DORIS POD at DGFI-TUM

Julian Zeitlhöfler, Mathis Bloßfeld, Sergei Rudenko

Deutsches Geodätisches Forschungsinstitut, Technische Universität München (DGFI-TUM)

IDS AWG Meeting 2023 Paris, 28.-29.11.2023

Recent software developments



Status quo:

- DORIS orbits possible for TOPEX/Poseidon, Jason-1/-2
- DORIS observations only in the IDS2.2 format used
- Up to 0.9 mm/s arc RMS of observation residuals for TOPEX/Poseidon and 0.6 mm/s for Jasons

Major changes in DOGS-OC in recent time:

- Use of DORIS RINEX data
- Elevation-dependent weighting of DORIS observations
- Extended bias estimation during POD
- Implementation of Sentinel-6a in DOGS-OC

Use of RINEX data for DORIS POD in DOGS-OC

TOPEX/Poseidon, Jason-1/-2: IDS2.2 Jason-3, Sentinel-6a: RINEX (new)

Nobs

*İ*sta

 $\Delta f_{\rm sta}$

fsat

dt

GINS (RINEX): computation of corrected counts

 $N_{\rm obs} = N - (f_{\rm sta} - \Delta f_{\rm sta} - f_{\rm sat}) \cdot dt$

Conversion from GINS format to DORIS RINEX format Using the conversion package "lecture rinex doris.f90"

IDS2.2: computation of counts

$$N_{\rm obs} = V_{\rm r} \cdot \frac{f_{\rm sta}}{c} \cdot dt$$

Observed Doppler count Nobs Observed Doppler count $V_{\rm r}$ Range rate ($V_r = V_r + C_{tropo} + C_{iono}$) Nominal beacon frequency (2 GHz) dt Time span of the Doppler count ($\mu s \rightarrow s$) Satellite frequency offset f_{sta} Nominal beacon frequency (2 GHz) Nominal satellite frequency Speed of light Time span of the Doppler count С

blue: as provided in the GINS observation file

blue: as provided in the IDS2.2 observation file

$$N_{\text{theo}} = df_{\text{sta}} \cdot dt - \frac{f_{\text{sta}}}{c} \cdot \Delta R - C_{\text{rel}} - C_{\text{pha}}$$

with $\Delta R = R_2 - R_1 = (D_2 - C_{\text{mes}} + C_{\text{trop}}) - (D_1 - C_{\text{mes}} + C_{\text{trop}})$

 N_{theo} Theoretical Doppler count Bias of beacon freq. (updated per iteration by freq. offset/drift) df_{sta} Relativistic correction $C_{\rm rel}$

Phase centre correction of emitting station $C_{\rm pha}$

DDistance beacon-satellite (corrected by C_{mas}) R Corrected range (beacon-satellite)

IDS phase law $C_{\rm mes}$

Tropospheric correction $C_{\rm trop}$

Elevation-dependent observation weighting

Standard deviation of DORIS observations in DOGS-OC:

$$\sigma_{\rm obs} = 0.4 \ \frac{\rm mm}{\rm s}$$

Observation weight:

$$W = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\rm obs}^2}$$

Down-weighting law as suggested by CNES used for elevations \leq 20 degrees:

$$W_{ele} = W \cdot \frac{\left(ele_{\rm deg}\right)^2}{400}$$

*ele*_{deg}: observation elevation in degrees

- Biases estimated in the right-hand case:
 - frequency offset (per pass) ٠
 - frequency drift (per station) .
 - troposphere scaling (per station)

Deutsches Geodätisches Forschungsinstitut (DGFI-TUM) | Technische Universität München



blue: without elevation-dependent weighting red: with elevation-dependent weighting

Estimation of biases

- New: estimation of 3 bias types per observation technique in an orbital arc
- Default DORIS POD setup:
 - Frequency bias: per pass
 - Frequency drift: per station
 - Tropospheric refraction: per station/pass (to be investigated)
- Current troposphere modelling (since DOGS-OC is originally an SLR POD software):
 - tropospheric delay by Collins (1999)
 - mapping functions (dry, wet) by Niell



Tropospheric refraction



In both cases: frequency bias (per pass) +

blue: tropospheric refraction (**per station**) red: tropospheric refraction (**per pass**)

 $0.4653 \rightarrow 0.4057 \text{ mm/s}$



In both cases: frequency bias (per pass) + frequency drift (per station) + blue: tropospheric refraction (**per station**) red: tropospheric refraction (**per pass**)



Tropospheric refraction





Reduced scattering of POD parameters when estimating tropospheric refraction scale factors (SF) per pass. Deutsches Geodätisches Forschungsinstitut (DGFI-TUM) | Technische Universität München

Estimation of biases

Test and analysis of 4 different bias setups:

Solution name	Frequency bias	Frequency drift	Tropospheric refraction	Test			
				А	В	С	
run002	per pass			\checkmark			
run003	per pass	per station		\checkmark	\checkmark		
run006	per pass		per pass	\checkmark		\checkmark	
run007	per pass	per station	per pass	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
			comparison of freq. bias	cc of	freq. dr	on ift	compare of trop.
	Solution namerun002run003run006run007	Solution nameFrequency biasrun002per passrun003per passrun006per passrun007per pass	Solution nameFrequency biasFrequency driftrun002per pass	Solution nameFrequency biasFrequency driftTropospheric refractionrun002per passper passrun003per passper stationrun006per passper passrun007per passper stationper passper stationper passrun007per passper station	Solution nameFrequency biasFrequency driftTropospheric refractionArun002per passrun003per passper station√run006per passrun007per passper station√run007per passof per passrun007per passrun07per passrun07per passrun07 </td <td>Solution nameFrequency biasFrequency driftTropospheric refractionTest Arun002per passper passrun003per passper stationrun006per passper stationrun007per passper stationrun007per passof per passrun007per passfer stationrun007per passfer stationfer passrun007per passfer stationfer passrun07per passfer stationfer passrun07fer passfer passfer passrun07fer passfer passfer passfer passrun07fer passfer passfer passfer passrun07fer passfer passfer passfer passrun07fer passfer passfer passrun07fer pass<</td> <td>Solution nameFrequency biasFrequency driftTropospheric refractionTestRBCrun002per passPer station√Irun003per passper station√√run006per passper station√√run007per passper station√√</td>	Solution nameFrequency biasFrequency driftTropospheric refractionTest Arun002per passper passrun003per passper stationrun006per passper stationrun007per passper stationrun007per passof per passrun007per passfer stationrun007per passfer stationfer passrun007per passfer stationfer passrun07per passfer stationfer passrun07fer passfer passfer passrun07fer passfer passfer passfer passrun07fer passfer passfer passfer passrun07fer passfer passfer passfer passrun07fer passfer passfer passrun07fer pass<	Solution nameFrequency biasFrequency driftTropospheric refractionTestRBCrun002per passPer station√Irun003per passper station√√run006per passper station√√run007per passper station√√

Tests show:

- Long-term stable frequency biases for master beacons
- > Spurious signals (non-linear drifts, seasonal) in frequency bias time series for selected beacons
- Significant reduction of frequency drift scatter for selected beacons
- > Estimated refraction coefficients reveal deficiencies in current tropospheric delay modelling in DOGS-OC (cf. outlook)

Frequency bias (KOUROU, FRENCH GUIANA)



Frequency bias (TOULOUSE, FRANCE)



Frequency bias (PAPEETE, TAHITI)



Frequency bias (OWENGA, NEW ZEALAND)



ТШП

Frequency bias (WASHINGTON, USA)



ТШП

Frequency drift (TOULOUSE, FRANCE)





Frequency drift (OWENGA, NEW ZEALAND)

R





Tropospheric refraction (KOUROU, FRENCH GUIANA)



Deutsches Geodätisches Forschungsinstitut (DGFI-TUM) | Technische Universität München



Tropospheric refraction

 \mathbf{C}



Conclusions and outlook

Development of Jason-3 DORIS-only mission mean RMS values of observation residuals:

Case	RMS [mm/s]	Setup
C0	0.6950	Frequency bias (per pass)
C1	0.6747	C0 + elevation-dependent weighting
C2	0.4795	C1 + frequency drift (per station)
C3	0.3988	C2 + tropospheric refraction scaling factors (per pass)

Next steps:

- Relativistic correction applied twice for IDS2.2?
- Reprocessing of full mission of TOPEX/Poseidon, Jason-1/-2/-3 with new implementations and quaternion data
- Implementation of new troposphere model (VMFx)
- Correlation analysis of bias parameters
- Refine the parameter setup of DORIS-only and SLR-DORIS combined orbits
- Comparison between Jason-2 IDS2.2- and RINEX-derived orbits
- Orbit comparison with external orbit solutions
- (Implementation of new DORIS-tracked satellites in DOGS-OC)

Thank you very much for your attention!