

# Are the Jason-2 and Jason-3 USO sensitive to the SAA?

Hugues Capdeville, Jean-Michel Lemoine, Laurent Soudarin, Adrien Mezerette CNES/CLS AC (GRG)

IDS AWG meeting, Delft 26-27 May 2016





#### Jason-2 on board frequency estimated from CNES MOE processing

Measured drifts of the DORIS onboard oscillators with respect their nominal frequency (on the 2GHz channel)

#### Jason-2

**SPOT-5** 



If we compare to Jason-2 result the sensitivity to SAA is 5 times stronger for SPOT-5 SAA effect on Jason-2 slightly visible in this estimation



IDS AWG May 2016



# Kourou/Toulouse frequency bias/pass adjusted in GRG processing (measurement frequency offset)

Jason-2 & Cryosat-2 Kourou and Toulouse



If we compare to Jason-2 result, the sensitivity to SAA is: >10 times stronger for Jason-1 SAA effect on Jason-2 not clearly visible in this estimation

#### DORIS RMS of fit (in mm/s) of SAA station from GRG processing



SAA effect on Jason-2 not visible in the DORIS RMS of fit of SAA stations

Single satellite Solution compared to DPOD2008 computed by CATREF Differences between the Jason-2 and Cryosat-2 solutions in NEU

Station	North (in cm)	East (in cm)	Up (in cm)
Cachoeira [2012-2015]	4.3	3.8	8.2
Arequipa [2013-2015]	1.8	2.1	10.2
Santiago [2010-2013]	8.8	0.5	2.2
Kourou [2010]	5.1	1.1	1.2
Ascension [2011-2015]	1.8	3.5	5.2
Libreville [2011-2015]	3.1	1.1	3.4
Toulouse [2011-2015]	0.2	0.4	1.2
Thule [2011-2015]	0.6	0.8	0.3

Bias in Up and/or North component for the SAA stations

#### Kourou frequency bias/pass adjusted in GRG processing

(measurement frequency offset)

Jason-2 & Jason-3



If we compare to Jason-2 result, the sensitivity to SAA is ~3 times stronger for Jason-3

DORIS RMS of fit (in mm/s) of SAA station from GRG processing Mean of 11 weeks (from 21 February to 7 May 2016)

Station	Jason-2 DORIS RMS (in mm/s)	Jason-3 DORIS RMS (in mm/s)	RMS Differences (ja3-ja2)
All	0.359	0.389	0.030
Cachoeira	0.408	0.506	0.098
Arequipa	0.340	0.458	0.118
Kourou	0.474	0.536	0.062
Ascension	0.406	0.469	0.063
Libreville	0.379	0.440	0.061
Toulouse	0.323	0.353	0.030
Thule	0.262	0.290	0.028

DORIS RMS of fit differences between Jason-2 and Jason-3:

- are equal to 0.03 mm/s taking into account all stations
- are >0.06 mm/s for SAA stations
- are <0.03 mm/s for stations outside

Single satellite Solution compared to DPOD2008 computed by CATREF Differences between the Jason-2 or Jason-3 and Cryosat-2 solutions in NEU Mean of 11 weeks (from 21 February to 7 May 2016)

Station	North (in cm) Ja2   Ja3	East (in cm) Ja2   Ja3	Up (in cm) Ja2   Ja3
Cachoeira	4.8   9.9	3.4   5.9	8.7   24.9
Arequipa	2.0   5.5	2.8   11.6	9.4   22.5
Kourou	2.9   9.1	0.1   1.8	0.9   5.4
Ascension	1.1   4.0	5.8   5.7	7.6   16.6
Libreville	3.6   8.4	1.4   1.2	3.0   12.2
Toulouse	0.9   0.9	0.8   0.6	0.9   1.8
Thule	2.8   2.9	0.6   1.6	0.6   0.5

For Jason-2&3: Bias in Up and/or North component for the SAA stations Bias higher for Jason-3

# CONCLUSIONS

#### Is the Jason-2 USO sensitive to the SAA?

• Jason-2 is sensitive to SAA but not at the same level as Jason-1 and SPOT-5 It has shown by A. Belli et al. and later on by P. Willis et al.

- The effect is not strong enough:
- to be observed clearly on the frequency board estimated by CNES MOE processing
- to be observed clearly on Kourou frequency bias/pass adjusted by GRG processing
- to be observed on the DORIS residuals of SAA station
- Jason-2 single satellite solutions show that the Jason-2 USO is affected by SAA:

Bias in Up and/or North component for the SAA stations:

Cachoeira, Santiago, Arequipa, Kourou, Ascension, Libreville, ...

The multi-satellite solution provided for ITRF2014 contribution can be impacted by the Jason-2 solution for SAA stations

#### Is the Jason-3 USO sensitive to the SAA?

• Jason-3 is more sensitive to SAA than Jason-2

The effect is strong enough:

- to be observed clearly on the frequency board estimated by CNES MOE processing (see presentation of C. Jayles)

- to be observed clearly on Kourou frequency bias/pass adjusted by GRG processing
- to be observed on the DORIS residuals of SAA station
- Jason-3 single satellite solutions show that the Jason-3 USO is affected by SAA:

Compared to Cryosat-2 solution, the Jason-3 solution gives a Bias in Up and/or North component for the SAA stations higher than those obtained with Jason-2 (*Cachoeira, Arequipa, Kourou, Ascension, Libreville*)

So, a data corrective model for Jason-3 is it useful ?