**REFAG 2010 Session 1, October 7** 

# **The IERS Conventions (2010)** the new reference edition of the IERS Conventions

# <u>G. Petit</u> and B. Luzum IERS Conventions Center





International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service



REFAG 2010, Marne-la-Vallée



## **Workshop on the IERS Conventions: September 2007**

#### See <u>http://www.bipm.org/en/events/iers/</u>

#### Main topics covered:

Classification of models Criteria for choosing models Non tidal loading effects New models Possible additions to the Conventions Technique-dependent effects Terminology concerning reference systems



Electronic diffusion of the Conventions, including software

#### The next registered edition was then planned for (end) 2009

## Main features of the IERS Conventions (2010) (1)

Chapters and history of updates on http://tai.bipm.org/iers/convupdt/convupdt.html

- Introduction
  - Rewritten (October 2010): Classification of models
- Ch. 1 (General definitions and numerical standards):
  - Rewritten (April 2010): <u>Numerical standards</u>
- Ch. 2 (Conventional celestial reference system and frame):
  - Rewritten (September 2010): ICRF-2
- Ch. 3 (Conventional dynamical realization of the ICRS):
  - Rewritten (April 2010): DE421
- Ch. 4 (Terrestrial reference systems and frames):
  - Rewritten (April 2009): ITRF2005
  - ITRF2008 (September 2010)
- Ch. 5 (Transformation between the ITRF and GCRS):
  - FCN model (October 2007)
  - Completely rewritten (June 2009): to implement IAU 2000-2006 resolutions and corresponding terminology
  - Introduction of librations (July 2010)

## Main features of the IERS Conventions (2010) (2)

• Ch. 6 (Geopotential):

•

- Ocean pole tide (March 2006)
- <u>New conventional geopotential model (April 2010)</u>
- <u>Ocean tides (September 2010)</u>
- <u>Ch. 7</u> (Displacement of reference points):
  - Ocean pole tide loading (September 2006)
  - Conventional ocean tide loading (November 2006)
  - Technique-dependent effects (February 2009)
  - <u>New conventional mean pole (April 2010)</u>, also Chapter 6
  - Reorganize chapter; S1-S2 atmosphere pressure loading (September 2010)
- Ch. 8 (Tidal variations in the Earth's rotation):
  - <u>New model for zonal tides (March 2010)</u>
- <u>Ch. 9</u> (Models for atmospheric propagation delays):
  - Optical: New model (June 2007)
  - Radio: New conventional mapping function (June 2007); new section on ionosphere (February 2009). A priori gradients (September 2010).
- Ch. 10 (General relativistic models for space-time coordinates and equations of motion):
  - New section, implementation of IAU recommendations (October 2008)
- Ch. 11 (General relativistic models for propagation):
  - Minor changes (August 2010)

## **Updating the IERS Conventions (2010)**

Some topics envisioned, but not yet covered

- Ch. 7 (Displacement of reference points):
  - 7.2 Other non-conventional displacements of reference markers ....
    - Loading effects Volunteers needed
  - 7.3 Displacement of reference points of instruments .... To be completed e.g.
    - Gravitational sag
    - Thermal expansion of monuments / bedrock
    - SLR biases
    - etc...
    - Details to be given in documentation provided by Technique centers
- Ch. 8 (Tidal Variations in the Earth's Rotation):
  - New model for diurnal and semidiurnal EOP variations

### **The IERS Conventions (2010): other work**

- <u>Software associated</u> with conventional models
  - Provide documentation and test cases
  - IERS Conventions Software License included (consistent with SOFA)
- Conventions document to be fully cross-referenced.
- Glossary assembled, based *verbatim* on existing material
  - mostly based on the IAU Division I Working Group "Nomenclature for Fundamental Astronomy (NFA)" at http://syrte.obspm.fr/iauWGnfa/NFA\_Glossary.html
- <u>Web page on</u> "additional material"
  - http://tai.bipm.org/iers/convupdt/convupdt\_aux.html
  - To be expanded as needed

## Conclusions

- IERS Conventions (2010) now available
  - Thanks to the « Advisory Board for the IERS Conventions update » chaired by Jim Ray and to the many colleagues who contributed.
  - Additional material available on the web
- IERS Conventions updates will continue, starting from the version (2010)
  - Past history of changes will be kept

# Thank you

REFAG 2010, Marne-la-Vallée



#### Numerical standards

• Revised to be consistent with the IAU (2009) System of Astronomical Constants and with the recommendations of IAU Commission 52

Tables reformatted to improve readability

Constant	Value	Uncertainty	Ref.	Description
	Natural defining constants			
с	299792458 ms <sup>-1</sup>	Defining	[1]	Speed of light
	Auxiliary defining constants			
k	$1.720209895 \times 10^{-2}$	Defining	[2]	Gaussian gravitational constant
$L_G$	$6.969290134 \times 10^{-10}$	Defining	[3]	1-d(TT)/d(TCG)
$L_B$	$1.550519768 \times 10^{-8}$	Defining	[4]	1 - d(TDB)/d(TCB)
$TDB_0$	$-6.55 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}$	Defining	[4]	TDB-TCB at JD 2443144.5 TAI
$\theta_0$	0.7790572732640 rev	Defining	[3]	Earth Rotation Angle (ERA) at J2000.
$d\theta/dt$	1.00273781191135448 rev/UT1day	Defining	[3]	Rate of advance of ERA
	Natural measurable constant			
G	$6.67428 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \text{kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-2}$	$6.7 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}^3 \text{kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-2}$	[1]	Constant of gravitation
	Body constants			
$GM_{\odot}^{\#}$	$1.32712442099 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^3 \text{s}^{-2}$	$5 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3 \text{s}^{-2}$	[5]	Heliocentric gravitational constant
$J_{2\odot}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-7}$	(adopted for DE421)	[5]	Dynamical form factor of the Sun
μ	0.0123000371	$4 \times 10^{-10}$	[6]	Moon-Earth mass ratio
	Earth constants			
$GM_{\oplus}^{\dagger}$	$3.986004418 \times 10^{14} \text{ m}^3 \text{s}^{-2}$	$8 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3 \text{s}^{-2}$	[7]	Geocentric gravitational constant
$a_E^{\dagger \ddagger}$	6378136.6 m	0.1 m	[8]	Equatorial radius of the Earth
$J_{2\oplus}^{\ddagger}$	$1.0826359 \times 10^{-3}$	$1 \times 10^{-10}$	[8]	Dynamical form factor
$1/f^{\ddagger}$	298.25642	0.00001	[8]	Flattening factor of the Earth
$g_E^{\dagger \ddagger}$	$9.7803278 \text{ ms}^{-2}$	$1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ ms}^{-2}$	[8]	Mean equatorial gravity
$W_0$	$62636856.0 \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-2}$	$0.5 \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-2}$	[8]	Potential of the geoid
$R_0^{\dagger}$	6363672.6 m	0.1 m	[8]	Geopotential scale factor $(GM_{\oplus}/W_0)$
Η	$3273795 \times 10^{-9}$	$1 \times 10^{-9}$	[9]	Dynamical flattening
	Initial value at J2000.0			
€0	84381.406"	0.001"	[4]	Obliquity of the ecliptic at J2000.0
	Other constants			
$au^{\dagger\dagger}$	$1.49597870700 \times 10^{11} \ {\rm m}$	3 m	[6]	Astronomical unit
$L_{C}$	$1.48082686741 \times 10^{-8}$	$2 \times 10^{-17}$	[3]	Average value of 1-d(TCG)/d(TCB)

# TCB-compatible value, computed from the TDB-compatible value in [5].

<sup>†</sup> The value for  $GM_{\oplus}$  is TCG-compatible. For  $a_E$ ,  $g_E$  and  $R_0$  the difference between TCG-compatible and TT-compatible is not relevant with respect to the uncertainty.

<sup>‡</sup> The values for  $a_E$ , 1/f,  $J_{2\oplus}$  and  $g_E$  are "zero tide" values (see the discussion in section 1.1 above). Values according to other conventions may be found in reference [8].

<sup>††</sup> TDB-compatible value. An accepted definition for the TCB-compatible value of au is still under discussion.

### **Conventional geopotential model**



- Based on EGM2008 (Pavlis et al. 2008)
  - Complete to degree and order 2159

Table 6.1: Suggested truncation levels for use of EGM2008 at different orbits							
	Orbit radius / km	Example	Truncation level				
	7331	Starlette	90				
	12270	Lageos	20				
	26600	$\operatorname{GPS}$	12				

• Low-order coefficients and rates adapted from different sources

Coefficient         Value at 2000.0         Reference         Rate / yr <sup>-1</sup> Reference $\bar{C}_{20}$ (zero-tide)         -0.48416948×10 <sup>-3</sup> Cheng et al., 2010         11.6 × 10 <sup>-12</sup> Nerem et al., 199 $\bar{C}_{20}$ (zero-tide)         -0.48416948×10 <sup>-3</sup> Cheng et al., 2010         11.6 × 10 <sup>-12</sup> Nerem et al., 199 $\bar{C}_{20}$ (zero-tide)         -0.9571612×10 <sup>-6</sup> EGM2008         4.9 × 10 <sup>-12</sup> Cheng et al. 199	Table 6.2: Low-degree coefficients of the conventional geopotential model								
$\bar{C}_{20}$ (zero-tide) -0.48416948×10 <sup>-3</sup> Cheng <i>et al.</i> , 2010 11.6×10 <sup>-12</sup> Nerem <i>et al.</i> , 199 $\bar{C}_{20}$ 0.9571612×10 <sup>-6</sup> EGM2008 4.9×10 <sup>-12</sup> Cheng <i>et al.</i> 199	Coefficient	Value at 2000.0	Reference	Rate / $yr^{-1}$	Reference				
$\bar{C}_{20} = 0.9571612 \times 10^{-6}$ EGM2008 $4.9 \times 10^{-12}$ Cheng et al. 199	$\bar{C}_{20}$ (zero-tide)	$\text{-}0.48416948{\times}10^{-3}$	Cheng et al., 2010	$11.6\times10^{-12}$	Nerem et al., 1993				
	$ar{C}_{30}$	$0.9571612{\times}10^{-6}$	EGM2008	$4.9\times10^{-12}$	Cheng et al., 1997				
$\bar{C}_{40}$ 0.5399659×10 <sup>-6</sup> EGM2008 4.7×10 <sup>-12</sup> Cheng <i>et al.</i> , 199	$\bar{C}_{40}$	$0.5399659{\times}10^{-6}$	EGM2008	$4.7  imes 10^{-12}$	Cheng et al., 1997				

•  $C_{21}(t)$  and  $S_{21}(t)$  designed to provide a mean figure axis corresponding to the mean pole position consistent with ITRF.

## Chapter 7



- 7.1 Conventional displacements of reference markers ....
- Ocean loading:
  - Conventional software by D. Agnew
- Ocean pole tide loading
  - Desai (2002) model
- S1/S2 atmospheric loading
  - T. vanDam from Ray & Ponte (2003)

http://geophy.uni.lu/ggfc-atmosphere/tide-loading-calculator.html





REFAG 2010, Marne-la-Vallée

#### **Conventional mean pole model (1)**

- A conventional mean pole model, that fits the "actual mean pole" to within  $\sim 10$  mas, ensures
  - that the geopotential field is aligned to the long-term mean pole
  - that effects of the pole tide are accounted for consistently in different analyses.
- The 2003 linear model diverges from "actual mean pole" after 2000
  - Visible in C21/S21 estimates from Lageos (Analysis from John Ries)



REFAG 2010, Marne-la-Vallée



#### **Conventional mean pole model (2)**

- Proposed IERS (2010) mean pole model
  - A degree 3 polynomial valid until 2010.0 and a linear extrapolation ensuring continuity and derivability at 2010.0
- To be updated as required
- However it has been shown (John Ries) that the conventional mean pole does not match very well the C21/S21 estimates from SLR and GRACE
  - General problem for low degree coefficients: simple model does not match real behavior
  - Recent surface mass trends not captured by model?



REFAG 2010, Marne-la-Vallée

### Chapter 8



- Model for the effect of zonal tides
  - Deficiency of IERS (2003) determined by R. Gross (JPL) (and others)
  - New model assembled by R. Gross reduces discrepancy particularly at fortnightly and monthly periods



REFAG 2010, Marne-la-Vallée

## Chapter 9

- Radio techniques:
  - Hydrostatic and wet mapping functions
    - VMF1 with coeffs from numerical weather model
    - GMF when VMF1 not available / necessary
  - APG a priori gradient model
  - All at http://ggosatm.hg.tuwien.ac.at/DELAY
- Correcting the ionosphere for dual-frequency users
  - Standard linear combination (possibly accounting for a time offset between measurements)
  - Models for 3rd order terms
  - Implementation software

from M. Hernández-Pajares

 $\delta \rho_{I,c}^{(1)} = \frac{f_a^2 \delta \rho_{I,c}^{(a)} - f_b^2 \delta \rho_{I,c}^{(b)}}{f_a^2 - f_b^2} = -\frac{2s_2}{f_a f_b (f_a + f_b)} - \frac{3s_3}{f_a^2 f_b^2}$ are  $s_2 = 1.1284 \times 10^{12} \int_{\vec{r}_T}^{\vec{r}_R} N_e B \cos\theta dl \simeq 1.1284 \times 10^{12} B_p \cos\theta_p \cdot S$   $s_3 \simeq 812 \int_{\vec{r}_T}^{\vec{r}_R} N_e^2 dl \simeq 812 \eta N_m S$ 

 $\delta \rho_{I,p}^{(1)} = \frac{f_a^2 \delta \rho_{I,p}^{(a)} - f_b^2 \delta \rho_{I,p}^{(b)}}{f_a^2 - f_t^2} = \frac{s_2}{f_a f_b (f_a + f_b)} + \frac{s_3}{f_a^2 f_t^2}$ 

http://gage14.upc.es/MANUEL/.I2/i2\_soft\_update\_v1d.20100218.tgz

### **Geopotential: ocean tides**

- Effect of the Ocean Tides
  - Section completely rewritten, based on input by R. Biancale
  - New conventional model based on FES2004, consistent with chapter 7

#### 6.3 Effect of the Ocean Tides

The dynamical effects of ocean tides are most easily incorporated by periodic variations in the normalized Stokes' coefficients of degree n and order m  $\Delta \bar{C}_{nm}$  and  $\Delta \bar{S}_{nm}$ . These variations can be written as

$$[\Delta \bar{C}_{nm} - i\Delta \bar{S}_{nm}](t) = \sum_{f} \sum_{+}^{-} \underbrace{\mathcal{C}_{f,nm}^{\pm}}_{+} \mp \underbrace{\mathcal{C}_{f,nm}^{\pm}}_{+} e^{\pm i\theta_{f}(t)}, \qquad (1)$$

• Sets of coefficients to easily compute the (variations in) Stokes coefficients are provided

#### **IERS Conventions software (1)**

٠

😢 Mozilla Firefox

Fichier Edition Affichage Aller à Marque-pages Outils ?

D = 4.554139562402433228 radians OM = -0.5167379217231804489 radians

SUBROUTINE FUNDARG ( T, L, LP, F, D, OM ) Documentation template FUNDARG Provides structure and standard This routine is part of the International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service (IERS) Conventions software collection. information This subroutine computes the lunisolar fundamental arguments. The model used is from Simon et al. (1994) as recommended by the IERS Conventions (2010). Refer to IERS Conventions (2003) Chapter 5 Sections 5.4.2 - 5.4.5 (pp. 38 - 41). - Variables defined (including In general, Class 1, 2, and 3 models represent physical effects that act on geodetic parameters while canonical models provide lower-level representations or basic computations that are used by Class 1, 2, or units) 3 models. Status: Canonical model – Notes on usage Class 1 models are those recommended to be used a priori in the reduction of raw space geodetic data in order to determine geodetic parameter estimates. Class 2 models are those that eliminate an observational - Test case provided singularity and are purely conventional in nature. Class 3 models are those that are not required as either Class 1 or 2. Canonical models are accepted as is and cannot be classified as a - References Class 1, 2, or 3 model. Given: TT, Julian centuries since J2000 (Note 1) d Returned: Mean anomaly of the Moon (Note 2) T. h LP Mean anomaly of the Sun (Note 2) d F d L - OM (Notes 2 and 3) D Mean elongation of the Moon from the Sun (Note 2) OM Mean longitude of the ascending node of the Moon (Note 2) Notes: 1) Though T is strictly TDB, it is usually more convenient to use TT, which makes no significant difference. Julian centuries since J2000 is (JD - 2451545.0)/36525. 2) The expression used is as adopted in IERS Conventions (2010) and is from Simon et al. (1994). Arguments are in radians. 3) L in this instance is the Mean Longitude of the Moon. OM is the Mean longitude of the ascending node of the Moon. Test case: given input: T = 0.07995893223819302 Julian centuries since J2000 (MJD = 54465)expected output: L = 2.291187512612069099 radians LP = 6.212931111003726414 radians F = 3.658025792050572989 radians

REFAG 2010, M

#### **IERS** Conventions software (2)

- License provided
  - Explicitly states conditions under which software can be used by third parties
  - Consistent with SOFA
  - Necessary because of expanding user base

```
🐸 Mozilla Firefox
                                  Fichier Edition Affichage Aller à Marque-pages Outils ?
                                     Copyright (C) 2008
                                     IERS Conventions Center
                                      _____
                                     IERS Conventions Software License
                                      NOTICE TO USER:
                                     BY USING THIS SOFTWARE YOU ACCEPT THE FOLLOWING TERMS AND CONDITIONS
                                     WHICH APPLY TO ITS USE.
                                     1. The Software is provided by the IERS Conventions Center ("the
                                        Center").
                                     2. Permission is granted to anyone to use the Software for any
                                        purpose, including commercial applications, free of charge,
                                        subject to the conditions and restrictions listed below.
                                     3. You (the user) may adapt the Software and its algorithms for your
                                        own purposes and you may distribute the resulting "derived work"
                                        to others, provided that the derived work complies with the
                                        following requirements:
                                        a) Your work shall be clearly identified so that it cannot be
                                           mistaken for IERS Conventions software and that it has been
                                           neither distributed by nor endorsed by the Center.
                                        b) Your work (including source code) must contain descriptions of
                                           how the derived work is based upon and/or differs from the
                                           original Software.
                                        c) The name(s) of all modified routine(s) that you distribute
                                           shall be changed.
                                        d) The origin of the IERS Conventions components of your derived
                                           work must not be misrepresented; you must not claim that you
                                           wrote the original Software.
                                        e) The source code must be included for all routine(s) that you
                                           distribute. This notice must be reproduced intact in any
                                           source distribution.
                                     4. In any published work produced by the user and which includes
                                        results achieved by using the Software, you shall acknowledge
                                        that the Software was used in obtaining those results.
                                     5. The Software is provided to the user "as is" and the Center makes
                                        no warranty as to its use or performance. The Center does not
                                        and cannot warrant the performance or results which the user may
                                        obtain by using the Software. The Center makes no warranties,
                                        express or implied, as to non-infringement of third party rights,
                                        merchantability, or fitness for any particular purpose. In no
                                        event will the Center be liable to the user for any consequential.
                                        incidental, or special damages, including any lost profits or lost
                                        savings, even if a Center representative has been advised of such
                                        damages, or for any claim by any third party.
REFAG 2010, Marn * Correspondence concerning IERS Conventions software should be
```

addressed as follows:

#### **Additional material**



This page presents material that complement the IERS Conventions but are not formally part of them. They are provided as additional information, such as test cases, technical notes written by contributors to the Conventions, etc... They are not subject to the same review process as the Conventions chapters and associated programs.

This page is organized following the order of the Conventions chapters and the documents are presented with the most relevant chapter. Some of this documents have previously been available through the <u>discussion forum</u> which is now closed.

Comments and contributions may be sent to Gérard Petit and Brian Luzum.

- Introduction.
  - Additional documents and links: • TBD.
- <u>Chapter 1</u> General definitions and numerical standards. Additional documents and links:
   > TBD.
- <u>Chapter 2</u> Conventional celestial reference system and frame. Additional documents and links:

   TBD.
- <u>Chapter 3</u> Conventional dynamical realization of the ICRS. Additional documents and links:
   TBD.
- <u>Chapter 4</u> Terrestrial reference systems and frames. Additional documents and links:

♦ TBD.

• Chapter 5 - Transformation between the International Terrestrial Reference System and Geocentric Celestial Reference System.

Additional documents and links

- Example application of the IAU 2000 resolutions concerning Earth orientation and rotation provided by Patrick Wallace.
- For additional info related to the transformation between systems, see also the site of the IAU Division 1 working group (2003-2006) Nomenclature for Fundamental Astronomy (NFA), with
  more explanatory material here.
- <u>Chapter 6</u> Geopotential.

Additional documents and links

- The IERS Conventions (2003) ocean tides model CSR 3.0 is presented in the <u>1995 memo</u> "THE CSR 3.0 GLOBAL OCEAN TIDE MODEL: DIURNAL AND SEMI-DIURNAL OCEAN TIDES FROM TOPEX/POSEIDON ALTIMETRY" by Richard J. Eanes and Srinivas Bettadpur.
- The ocean tides model FES2004 described in the IERS Conventions (2010) is presented in the paper "Modelling the global ocean tides: modern insights from FES2004" by F. Lyard et al. (2006). Additional information is provided in a presentation at the IERS Conventions workshop.
- <u>Chapter 7</u> Displacement of reference points.

```
Iditional documents and links:
```

• <u>Report</u> by Duncan Agnew on the version dated June 2008 of the routine hardisp.f to compute the displacement due to ocean tidal loading.

<u>Chapter 8</u> - Tidal variations in the Earth's rotation.

Additional documents and links:

♦ TBD.

<u>Chapter 9</u> - Models for propagation delays.

dditional documents and links:

Report by Flavien Mercier on the influence of non synchroneous phase measurements on the ionospheric correction in DORIS.

- <u>Chapter 10</u> General relativistic models for space-time coordinates and equations of motion. Additional documents and links:
   TBD.
- Chapter 11 General relativistic models for propagation.

Additional documents and links:

♦ TBD.