The DOR IS network

- Antenna stability improvement: status and prospective
- The 3.0 beacons:
 - New features
 - Improvement of the reliability
- Beacons disposability: 2.0 and 3.0 models
 - Other possible improvements:
 - Reducing the time between failure and replacement
 - Uniform model of antenna over the network
- Information and formalization of the relations with the host institutes





Antenna stability

Currently 3 different options:

Pillar on ground floor:

Represent 15 sites among the renovated or newly installed sites since 1999.

- Rigid metal tower 2 meters high on ground or stable building structure: 6 sites since 1999.
- Rigid metal tower 1 meter high or less on building structure: 3 sites since 1999.





Types of supports



Short tower on building



IDS DORIS Workshop SIMB-IGN





Status and prospective

Configuration adopted for the moment

- Metal corrosion problems seem to be solved
- Long term resistance should be achieved

Further improvements

- Concrete structure stability in case of soil ground
- Initial study including ground structure when installing a new site
- Cross comparison with other techniques?
- Positive results at half way of this process
- Some difficult sites to prospect from now on
- The whole network equipped with STAREC antennas: better phase center determination relatively to a materialized point.





3.0 beacons: installation process

Installation process beginning

- 2 beacons installed (Toulouse, Tristan da Cunha)
- 4 beacons more installed within 2 months
- 5 beacons delivered in June 2002 to SIMB
- 15 beacons/year delivery planned for the coming years

Master beacon function operational in Toulouse

- Automatic uploads for satellites programming soon achieved
- Network image uploaded now to the 2nd generation receivers





3.0 beacons: new features

Modulation of the signal on the 2 GHz frequency

- Same message as 400 MHz
- Allows eventual mono-frequency receivers conception
- Measurements available even if 400 MHz interference

More control values transmitted

- Internal temperature
- Transmitted power out of the amplifiers
- Date and time (modulo 10 seconds)
- Time elapsed since oscillator was on
- Indicator of restart mode





Beacons clock lag determination



- Beacons time controlled trough the system to TAI, but modulo 10 seconds.
- Time lag between beacon time and TAI known after beacon reception and data treatment

Master beacon connected Transmitting with cesium controlled by beacon CNES time /frequency laboratory





Constraint for beacon's time setting (1.0 and 2.0 beacons)



A time lag of around 5 seconds when setting beacon's time doesn't allow reception of the modulation on the satellites. The measurements are lost in this case.





Restart mode with 3.0 beacons



Restart mode allows 2 new features:

- automatic restart of the beacon in transmission mode after a cut off
- possibility to receive measurements with any time lag

Restart mode is the default mode when switching on the beacon.





Beacons 3.0: other new features

Frequency lag programming available

- Avoid Doppler conflicts for beacons close together
- Useful for the new 2 channels receivers

External power supply system

- 3 different sets of battery: adaptability to diverse configurations
- Large voltage and frequency variability accepted
- Possibility of remote control in the near future: useful for remote sites and non permanently maintained
- New generation of oscillators: stability increased by a factor 3 relatively to 1.0 beacons





Beacon's availability

- 1.0 beacons replaced by the 3.0 and no more used
 - Many components not constructed anymore
 - Difficult to maintain these beacons

2.0 beacons

- 26 existing —> allow 20 operational
- Reliability increased since a protection module against signal return to the amplifiers implemented on 2 GHz output
- Study initiated for 3.0 synthesizer implementation in 2.0 beacons (—) 2.1 model?)

3.0 beacons

- Regular delivery quite sure as all initial problems seem to be solved
- Only USO delivery could limit delivery





Other possible improvements

Improve the sites measurement continuity

- Time sometimes important between failure and installation of a new beacon
- —> mostly due to customs procedures
- Failure determination only through communications
- partial information or misunderstanding can lead to long time before diagnosis (even more important problem for temporary experiments)

Possible actions:

- Try to ameliorate communications
- Improve the equipment reliability: objective during the 3.0 conception
- Improve meteorological measurements reliability: regular calibrations or comparisons to perform





Host Institutes and SIMB relations

Agreements signed between IGN and host institutes

- Facilitates administrative problems resolution
- Gives an official status to the relations
- Gives a base of discussion when problems arise

Information about the DORIS system and results

- Available through IDS web site
- Altimetry data and information available on AVISO web site



