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IDS and DORIS quick reference list

1. **IDS website**
   http://ids-doris.org/

2. **Contacts**
   Central Bureau IDS.central.bureau@ids-doris.org
   Governing Board IDS.governing.board@ids-doris.org

3. **Data Centers**
   CDDIS: ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/doris/

4. **DORISmail**
   The DORIS mail service is used to send information of general interest to the DORIS community. To send a DORISmail, use the following address: dorismail@ids-doris.org

5. **IDS Analysis forum**
   The IDS Analysis Forum is a list for discussion of DORIS data analysis topics. To start a discussion on a specific topic, use the following address: ids.analysis.forum@ids-doris.org

6. **List of documents and links to discover the DORIS system**
   http://ids-doris.org/analysis-documents.html

7. **Citation**
   The following article is suggested for citation in papers and presentations that rely on DORIS data and results:

8. **List of DORIS publications in international peer-reviewed journals**
   http://ids-doris.org/report/publications/peer-reviewed-journals.html

9. **Overview of the DORIS satellite constellation**
10. **Site logs**
DORIS stations description forms and pictures from the DORIS installation and maintenance department: http://ids-doris.org/network/siteconfigs.html

11. **Virtual tour of the DORIS network with Google Earth**
Download the file at http://ids-doris.org/network/googleearth.html and visit the DORIS sites all around the world.

12. **IDS web service**
http://ids-doris.org/webservice
DOR-O-T for DORis Online Tools (pronounced in French like the given name Dorothée) is the IDS web service developed to promote the use of the DORIS products. The current version of the service provides tools to browse time series in an interactive and intuitive way.

13. **More contacts**
For particular requests, you may also contact the following persons:

**Governor Board**

**Pascal Willis** (chairman)
Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris
UFR STEP / GSP Bat Lamarck Case 7011
75205 Paris Cedex 13
France
Phone: +33 (0)1 57 27 84 81
E-mail: willis@ipgp.fr

**Central Bureau**

**Laurent Soudarin** (director)
CLS
8-10 rue Hermes
Parc Technologique du Canal
31520 Ramonville Saint-Agne
France
Phone: +33 (0)5 61 39 48 49 / 5 61 39 47 90
E-mail: laurent.soudarin@cls.fr
**DORIS System**  
*Pascale Ferrage*  
CNES  
DCT/ME/OT  
18, avenue Edouard Belin  
31401 Toulouse Cedex 9  
France  
Phone: +33 (0)5 61 28 30 66  
E-mail: pascale.ferrage@cnes.fr

**Network**  
*Jérôme Saunier*  
Institut National de l'Information Géographique et Forestière  
73, avenue de Paris,  
94165 Saint-Mandé Cedex  
France  
Phone: +33 (0)1 43 98 83 63  
E-mail: jerome.saunier@ign.fr

**Analysis Coordination**  
*Frank Lemoine*  
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center  
Code 698, Planetary Geodynamics Laboratory  
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771  
USA  
Phone: +1 (301) 614-6109  
E-mail: Frank.G.Lemoine@nasa.gov

**Combination Center**  
*Guilhem Moreaux*  
CLS  
8-10 rue Hermes  
Parc Technologique du Canal  
31520 Ramonville Saint-Agne  
France  
Phone: +33 (0)5 61 39 48 47 / 5 61 39 47 90  
E-mail: guilhem.moreaux@cls.fr
**CDDIS Data Center**

**Carey Noll**  
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center  
Code 690, Solar System Exploration Division  
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771  
USA  
Phone: +1 (301) 614-6542  
E-mail: Carey.Noll@nasa.gov

**IGN Data Center**

**Bruno Garayt**  
Institut National de l’Information Géographique et Forestière  
73, avenue de Paris,  
94165 Saint-Mandé Cedex  
France  
Phone: +33 (0)1 43 98 81 97  
E-mail: bruno.garayt@ign.fr
Glossary

AC
Analysis Center

AGU
American Geophysical Union. AGU is a scientific society that aims to advance the understanding of Earth and space. AGU conducts meetings and conferences, publishes journals, books and a weekly newspaper, and sponsors a variety of educational and public information programs.

AVISO
Archiving, Validation and Interpretation of Satellite Oceanographic data. AVISO distributes satellite altimetry data from TOPEX/Poseidon, Jason-1, Jason-2, ERS-1 and ERS-2, and Envisat, and DORIS precise orbit determination and positioning products.

AWG
Analysis Working Group

CB
Central Bureau

CDDIS
Crustal Dynamics Data Information System

CLS
Collecte Localisation Satellites. Founded in 1986, CLS is a subsidiary of CNES and Ifremer, specializes in satellite-based data collection, location and ocean observations by satellite.

CNES
Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales. The Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales is the French national space agency, founded in 1961.

CNRS
Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique. The Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique is the leading research organization in France covering all the scientific, technological and societal fields

CryoSat-2
Altimetry satellite built by the European Space Agency launched on April, 8 2010. The mission will determine the variations in the thickness of the Earth's continental ice sheets and marine ice cover.
CSR
Center for Space Research, the University of Texas

CSTG
Coordination of Space Technique in Geodesy

DC
Data Center

DGXX
DORIS receiver name (3rd Generation)

DIODE
Détermination Immédiate d’Orbite par DORIS Embarqué. Real-time onboard DORIS system used for orbit determination.

DORIS
Doppler Orbitography and Radiopositioning Integrated by Satellite. Precise orbit determination and location system using Doppler shift measurement techniques. A global network of orbitography beacons has been deployed. DORIS was developed by CNES, the French space agency, and is operated by CLS.

ECMWF
European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasting

EGU
European Geosciences Union

EOP
Earth Orientation Parameters

eop
Specific format for geodetic product: time series files of Earth orientation parameters

Envisat
ENVironmental SATellite Earth-observing satellite (ESA)

ESA
European Space Agency. The European Space Agency is a space agency founded in 1975. It is responsible of space projects for 17 European countries.

ESA, esa
acronyms for ESA/ESOC Analysis Center, Germany
ESOC
European Space Operations Centre (ESA, Germany)

EU
European Union

EUMETSAT
EUropean organisation for the exploitation of METeorological SATellites

GAU, gau
acronyms for the Geoscience Australia Analysis Center, Australia

GB
Governing Board

GDR-B, GDR-C, GDR-D
Versions B, C, and D of Geophysical Data Record

dgeoc
Specific format for geodetic product: time series files of coordinates of the terrestrial reference frame origin (geocenter)

GGOS
Global Geodetic Observing System

GMF
Global Mapping Function

GNSS
Global Navigation Satellite System

GLONASS
Global Navigation Satellite System (Russian system)

GOP, gop
acronyms for the Geodetic Observatory of Pecný Analysis Center, Czech Republic

GRGS
Groupe de Recherche de Géodésie Spatiale

GSC, gsc
acronyms for the NASA/GSFC Analysis Center, USA

GSFC
Goddard Space Flight Center (NASA).
HY-2

IAG
International Association of Geodesy

IDS
International DORIS Service

IERS
International Earth rotation and Reference systems Service

IGN
Institut national de l'information géographique et forestière, French National Geographical Institute (formerly Institut Géographique National)

IGN, ign
acronyms for IGN/IPGP Analysis Center, France

IGS
International GNSS Service

ILRS
International Laser Ranging Service

INA, ina
acronyms for the INASAN Analysis Center, Russia

INASAN
Institute of Astronomy, Russian Academy of Sciences

IPGP
Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris

ISRO
Indian Space Research Organization

ITRF
International Terrestrial Reference Frame

IUGG
International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics
IVS  
**International VLBI Service for Geodesy and Astrometry**

**Jason**  
Altimetric missions (CNES/NASA), follow-on of TOPEX/Poseidon. Jason-1 was launched on December 7, 2001 and Jason-2 was launched on June 20, 2008.

JOG  
**Journal Of Geodesy**

JASR  
**Journal of Advances in Space Research**

LCA, lca  
acronyms for the CNES/CLS Analysis Center, France (previously LEGOS/CLS Analysis Center)

LEGOS  
Laboratoire d'Etudes en Géodésie et Océanographie Spatiales, France

LRA  
**Laser Retroreflector Array**. One of three positioning systems on TOPEX/Poseidon and Jason. The LRA uses a laser beam to determine the satellite's position by measuring the round-trip time between the satellite and Earth to calculate the range.

MOE  
**Medium Orbit Ephemeris**.

NASA  
**National Aeronautics and Space Administration**. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration is the space agency of the United States, established in 1958.

NCEP  
**National Center for Environmental Prediction** (NOAA).

NLC, ncl  
acronyms for University of Newcastle Analysis Center, UK

NOAA  
**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is a scientific agency of the United States Department of Commerce focused on the studies of the oceans and the atmosphere.

OSTST  
Ocean Surface Topography Science Team
POD
Precise Orbit Determination

POE
Precise Orbit Ephemeris

Poseidon
One of the two altimeters onboard TOPEX/Poseidon (CNES); Poseidon-2 is the Jason-1 altimeter.

RINEX/DORIS
Receiver INdependent EXchange. Specific format for DORIS raw data files, based on the GPS-dedicated format

RMS
Root Mean Square

SAA
South Atlantic Anomaly

SARAL
Satellite with ARgos and Altika

SINEX
Solution (software/technique) Independent Exchange. Specific format for files of geodetic products

SIRS
Service d'Installation et de Renovation des Balises (IGN). This service is in charge of all the relevant geodetic activities for the maintenance of the DORIS network.

SLR
Satellite Laser Ranging

SMOS
Service de Maintenance Opérationnelle des Stations (CNES). This service is responsible for the operational issues of the DORIS stations

snx see SINEX

SOD
Service d'Orbitographie DORIS, CNES DORIS orbitography service

SPOT
**Système Pour l’Observation de la Terre.** Series of photographic remote-sensing satellites launched by CNES.

**sp1, sp3**
Specific format for orbit ephemeris files

**SSALTO**
Segment Sol multimissions d’ALTimétrie, d’Orbitographie et de localisation précise. The SSALTO multi-mission ground segment encompasses ground support facilities for controlling the DORIS and Poseidon instruments, for processing data from DORIS and the TOPEX/Poseidon, Jason-1, Jason-2 and Envisat-1 altimeters, and for providing user services and expert altimetry support.

**STCD**
**STation Coordinates Difference.** Specific format for time series files of station coordinates (geodetic product)

**STPSAT**
US Air Force Space Test Program SATellite. The first satellite STPSAT1 was launched in 2007 with a new DORIS receiver called CITRIS. This experiment is dedicated to global ionospheric measurements.

**SWOT**

**TOPEX/Poseidon**
Altimetric satellite (NASA/CNES).

**USO**
Ultra-Stable Oscillator

**UTC**
Coordinated Universal Time. Timekeeping system that relies on atomic clocks to provide accurate measurements of the second, while remaining coordinated with the Earth’s rotation, which is much more irregular. To stay synchronized, UTC has to be adjusted every so often by adding one second to the day, called a leap second, usually between June 30 and July 1, or between December 31 and January 1. This is achieved by counting 23h59’59”, 23h59’60” then 00h00’00”. This correction means that the Sun is always at its zenith at noon exactly (accurate to the second).

**VLBI**
Very Long Baseline Interferometry.

**ZTD**
Zenith Tropospheric Delay
1 INTRODUCTION

As other space-techniques had already organized into services - the International GNSS Service (IGS) for GPS, GLONASS and, in the future, Galileo (Beutler et al. 1999), the International Laser Ranging Service (ILRS) for both satellite laser ranging and lunar laser ranging (Pearlman et al. 2002) and the International VLBI Service for Geodesy and Astrometry (IVS) for geodetic radio-interferometry (Schlueter et al. 2002) -, the IDS was created in 2003 as an IAG service to federate the research and developments related to the DORIS technique, to organize the expected DORIS contribution to IERS and GGOS (Rummel et al. 2005; Willis et al. 2005), and to foster a larger international cooperation on this topic.

At present, more than 60 groups from 38 different countries participate in the IDS at various levels, including 50 groups hosting DORIS stations in 35 countries all around the globe.

Two analysis centers contributed as individual DORIS solutions to ITRF2005 and in 2006 four analysis centers provided results for IDS. Since 2008, eight analysis groups have provided results, such as orbit solutions, weekly or monthly station coordinates, geocenter variations or Earth polar motion, that are used to generate IDS combined products for geodesy or geodynamics. All these centers have provided SINEX solutions for inclusion in the IDS combined solution that was submitted in 2009 to the IERS for ITRF2008. In 2009, a first IDS combined solution (Valette et al., 2010) was realized using DORIS solutions from 7 Analysis Groups for weekly station positions and daily Earth orientation parameters. In 2012, 6 analysis centers (ACs) provided operational products, which were combined in a routine DORIS combination by the IDS Combination Center in Toulouse. In 2013, several inter-comparisons were also conducted between ACs (orbit comparisons, single-satellite SINEX solutions for station coordinates). In 2013, 6 analysis centers reprocessed all DORIS data sets (since 1993) in order to provide weekly SINEX solutions in preparation of ITRF2013. Validation of these weekly SINEX solutions was performed by the IDS Analysis combination center.

This report summarizes the current structure of the IDS, the activities of the Central Bureau, provides an overview of the DORIS network, describes the IDS data centers, summarizes the DORIS satellite constellation and includes reports from the individual DORIS ACs.
2 HISTORY

The DORIS system was designed and developed by CNES, the French space agency, jointly with IGN, the French mapping and survey agency, and GRGS the space geodesy research group, for precise orbit determination of altimeter missions and consequently also for geodetic ground station positioning (Tavernier et al. 2003).

DORIS joined the GPS, SLR and VLBI techniques as a contributor to the IERS for ITRF94. In order to collect, merge, analyze, archive and distribute observation data sets and products, the IGS was established and recognized as a scientific service of the IAG in 1994, followed by the ILRS in 1998 and the IVS in 1999. It is clear that DORIS has benefited from the experience gained by these earlier services.

There was an increasing demand in the late nineties among the international scientific community, particularly the IAG and the IERS, for a similar service dedicated to the DORIS technique.

On the occasion of the CSTG (Coordination of Space Technique in Geodesy) and IERS Directing Board meetings, held during the IUGG General Assembly in Birmingham in July 1999, it was decided to initiate a DORIS Pilot Experiment (Tavernier et al. 2002) that could lead on the long-term to the establishment of such an International DORIS Service. A joint CSTG/IERS Call for Participation in the DORIS Pilot Experiment was issued on 10 September 1999. An international network of 54 tracking stations was then contributing to the system and 11 proposals for new DORIS stations were submitted. Ten proposals were submitted for Analysis Centers (ACs). Two Global Data Centers (NASA/CDDIS in USA and IGN/LAREG in France) already archived DORIS measurements and were ready to archive IDS products. The Central Bureau was established at the CNES Toulouse Center, as a joint initiative between CNES, CLS and IGN. The IDS Central Bureau and the Analysis Coordinator initiated several Analysis Campaigns. Several meetings were organized as part of the DORIS Pilot Experiment (Table 1).

The IDS was officially inaugurated on July 1, 2003 as an IAG Service after the approval of the IAG Executive Committee at the IUGG General Assembly in Sapporo. The first IDS Governing Board meeting was held on November 18, 2003 in Arles, France. Since then, each year, several IDS meetings were held (Table 2, Table 3).

In 2014, two DORIS Analysis Working Group meetings will take place, first in March, in Paris (France), then in October, in Konstanz (Germany), in conjunction with the IDS Workshop (see details at http://ids-doris.org/report/meeting-presentations/ids-workshop-2014.html).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>DORIS Days</td>
<td>Toulouse, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>IDS workshop</td>
<td>Biarritz, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>IDS Analysis Workshop</td>
<td>Marne La Vallée, France</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. List of meetings organized as part of the DORIS Pilot Experiment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Plenary meeting</td>
<td>Paris, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>IDS workshop</td>
<td>Venice, Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Analysis Working Group Meeting</td>
<td>Paris, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Analysis Working Group Meeting</td>
<td>Paris, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IDS workshop</td>
<td>Nice, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Analysis Working Group Meeting</td>
<td>Paris, France</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. List of IDS events organized between 2004 and 2009**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Analysis Working Group Meeting</td>
<td>Darmstadt, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IDS workshop &amp; 20th anniversary of the DORIS system</td>
<td>Lisbon, Portugal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Analysis Working Group Meeting</td>
<td>Paris, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Analysis Working Group Meeting</td>
<td>Prague, Czech Rep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IDS workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Analysis Working Group Meeting</td>
<td>Toulouse, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Analysis Working Group Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. List of IDS events organized between 2010 and 2013
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3 ORGANIZATION

The IDS organization is very similar to the other IAG Services (IGS, ILRS IVS) and IUGG Service such as IERS (Figure 1).

Figure 1. IDS organization
3.1 GOVERNING BOARD

In December 2012, a new Governing board was elected or appointed following the IDS terms of reference updated in 2011. The 2013-2014 IDS Governing Board is:

Elected Members:

Elected by the associate members

- **Pascal WILLIS** (IGN/IPGP) **Chairperson**
  Analysis Centers' representative

- **Carey NOLL** (NASA/GSFC)
  Data Centers' representative

- **Richard BIANCALE** (CNES/GRGS)
  Member at large

Elected by the previous Governing Board

- **Frank G. LEMOINE** (NASA/GSFC)
  Analysis Coordinator

- **John RIES** (University of Texas/CSR)
  Member at large

Appointed members:

- **Pascale FERRAGE** (CNES)
  DORIS System representative

- **Jérôme SAUNIER** (IGN)
  Network representative

- **Laurent SOUDARIN** (CLS)
  Director of the Central Bureau

- **Guilhem MOREAUX** (CLS)
  Combination Center representative

- **Michiel OTTEN** (ESA/ESOC)
  Representative of the IAG

- **Brian LUZUM** (USNO)
  Representative of the IERS
3.2 REPRESENTATIVES AND DELEGATES

IDS representative to the IAG: Pascal Willis

IDS representatives to the IERS:

Analysis Coordinator: Frank G. Lemoine
Network representative: Jérôme Saunier

IDS delegate for the GGOS Steering Committee: Pascal Willis (substitute: Frank G. Lemoine)

IDS representatives to GGOS consortium: Pascal Willis, Laurent Soudarin

3.3 CENTRAL BUREAU

In 2013, the IDS Central Bureau is organized as follow:

- Laurent Soudarin  CLS (Director)
- Pascale Ferrage  CNES
- Jérôme Saunier  IGN
- Guilhem Moreaux  CLS
- Pascal Willis  IGN/IPGP
4 THE CENTRAL BUREAU

Laurent Soudarin (1), Pascale Ferrage (2)

(1) CLS, France
(2) CNES, France

Like in the previous years, the Central Bureau has brought its supports to the IDS components and continued to operate the information system. We present here the main activities of 2013 and the novelties brought to the IDS web and ftp sites. Plans for 2014 are also given. An overview of the IDS information system is reminded in appendix to this report.

4.1 SUPPORT TO THE IDS COMPONENTS

The Central Bureau participated to the organization of the AWG meetings in Toulouse and Washington.

The Central Bureau worked on making available the meta-data and DORIS data of the SARAL satellite. It coordinated the actions between SAALTO and the Data Centers.

Several presentations have been made to promote the IDS activities:

AOGS, Brisbane, Australia, June 2013:

- Current status and perspectives (oral) by Willis et al.
  

IAG Scientific Assembly, Potsdam, Germany, September 2013

- The International DORIS Service (IDS) Recent developments in preparation for ITRF2013 (oral) by Willis et al.
  

- IDS plot tools for time series of DORIS station positions and orbit residuals (poster) by Soudarin et al.
  
AGU fall meeting, San Francisco, USA, December 2013

- Interactive visualization tool for station coordinates time series of DORIS and other space geodetic techniques at co-located sites (poster) by Moreaux et al.


4.2 IDS WEBSITE

Address: http://ids-doris.org

The main updates of 2013 are reported hereafter.

- The presentations of the the AWG meetings held in Toulouse on April 2013 and in Washington on October 2013 have been made available:


- The activity reports for 2012 were added (IDS Activity report, report for IERS) as well as the minutes of the IDS GB meetings held in 2013:


- The list of the peer-reviewed publications related to DORIS has been enriched with 7 new references of articles published in 2013:

  http://ids-doris.org/report/publications/peer-reviewed-journals.html#2013

- The pages Sitelogs have been upgraded.

  http://ids-doris.org/network/sitelogs.html

  - The previous codes of the stations have been added in the table of the main page. In addition, the URL links have been changed. The station’s name is used instead of one of the station’s codes.

  - Several updated versions of site logs has been provided by IGN, (among them the site logs for Le Lamentin and Grasse), as well as more than 70 photos of station monumentations and host agency staffs. (see also http://ids-doris.org/gallery.html)

- The letter from the International Council for Science (ICSU) World Data System (WDS) accepting IDS as a Network Member has been put on line:
Links to brochures and videos about DORIS available on AVISO website have been added:

http://ids-doris.org/analysis-documents.html#general

4.3 IDS FTP SERVER


The documents and files put on the IDS ftp site in 2013 are listed hereafter:

- “DORIS system definition” is a new document describing the DORIS missions, then the DORIS system in details, with its external and internal connections. It applies to the DORIS system from the DGXX generation:

- “Saral characteristics for DORIS calibration plan and POD processing” is a new document that describes the SARAL satellite characteristics:

- A note about “station equipment and impact on the frequency” which reminds the possible changes of emitting frequency in case of equipment changes for the three kinds of DORIS beacons (Orbitography, Master, and Time beacons):

- Version #4.5 of the document describing the modelling of DORIS 2GM instruments (cleaned of any reference to Cryosat-1):

- Version #5 of the document describing the DORIS satellite models implemented in CNES POE processing; it includes SARAL and updates on SPOT-5 solar panel offsets:
• updated version of the DORIS internal tie file

• The sitelogs were all gathered in:

4.4 FUTURE PLAN

In 2014, the Central Bureau will organise the elections for the renewal of two positions of Governing Board: Analysis Coordinator and one Member at large.

It will participate to the organisation of the IDS Workshop in Konstanz, Germany. A page compiling the necessary information will be created on the website.

A tutorial about the use of DORIS data in RINEX-like format will be proposed.

An upgraded version of the web tools to plot time series will be put online.

The Central Bureau will continue to guide any new users who want to get involved in DORIS activities.
5  THE NETWORK

Jérôme Saunier
Institut National de l'Information Géographique et Forestière, France

5.1  STATUS AND PERFORMANCE

The DORIS network relies on partnerships with scientific organizations through best-effort support. This works rather well but the current economic context makes the maintenance more and more difficult.

Closure of facilities, consolidation of sites, or automation for cutting staff decided by host agencies in order to save money, force us to move out. In the end, most of the problems encountered today on the network can be summed up in one word: money!

However, we are still able to anticipate and respond in a timely way to the network events, and in parallel, we continue to carry out renovation and maintenance work in order to keep up the network performance level with the permanent objective of a better service delivered to the system.

DORIS still provided a reliable service in 2013. The joint effort of CNES, IGN and all host agencies offered outstanding network availability with an annual mean of 88 % of operating stations (Figure 2)

![Network Availability 2013](image)

**Figure 2. Network availability in 2013**
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5.2 EVOLUTION AND DEVELOPMENT

With respect to ground equipment, CNES started working on the 4th beacon generation. Designed with new electronic components and new architecture, this new beacon model aims at providing a better performance and reliability at a reduced cost. From a practical perspective, the main advantage of this beacon is the antenna cables length that will allow to install the antenna up to fifty meters from the beacon.

In view of this upcoming evolution, we asked CNES to take the opportunity to also examine a new ground antenna model but the request has been declined for budgetary reason. Nevertheless, specification and control will be strengthened in order to improve the manufacturing process of the current antenna model (Starec) and several mechanisms will be put in place to facilitate the survey.

On the field, maintenance and evolution of the network continued. The new station at “Le Lamentin” in the French West Indies (Martinique) aims at increasing the network density in this region and is the first DORIS station located on the Caribbean tectonic plate.

Thanks to the REGINA network deployment (see IDS Activity Report 2011, section 5), co-locations with GNSS stepped up to forty and we carried out a lot of high precision local tie surveys during the last three years as an important contribution to ITRF2013 (Figure 3).

![Figure 3. Co-locations with REGINA GNSS stations and local tie survey](image-url)
All these tie vectors are available inside the updated sitelogs available on http://ids-doris.org/network/sitelogs.html

Grasse has been chosen as an experimental site. It is now equipped with geodetic control points in order to monitor the monumentation stability. We carried out the first observing campaign this summer.

In 2013 the following sites were visited:

- Chatham Island (NZ): reconnaissance (site going to be closed next year)
- Nicaragua: reconnaissance with a view to installing a new station
- Le Lamentin (Martinique): new station installation (Figure 4)
- Hokkaido (Japan): reconnaissance with a view to installing a new station
- St John’s (Canada): renovation (antenna raising and equipment replacement)
- Yellowknife (Canada): beacon replacement and tie survey (new REGINA station)
- Grasse (France): renovation (antenna moving) and local tie survey
- Kitab (Uzbekistan): reconnaissance with a view to moving the station
- Djibouti: local tie survey (new REGINA station)

---

**Figure 4.** New DORIS station “Le Lamentin” in Martinique, French West Indies
The map of the network (Figure 5) may change soon: four stations will move to other sites in California, Far East Russia, Florida, and Papua New Guinea.

By 2014, we hope at last to install a new station in Goldstone, California, continue with the work begun with Japan for installing a new station in Hokkaido (in place of Sakhalinsk), substitute Miami with the pair “Le Lamentin” (already installed) and Managua, and find a new suitable location for the station of Port-Moresby (site going to be closed).

Other objectives for the next year include major renovations at Syowa, Socorro, Chatham Island, Kitab and Easter Island

With regard to the IDS stations project (stations in addition to the permanent network), progress on the selected sites (Sejong, Korea + Wake, Marshall Islands) is rather slow. Therefore, we will redefine proposals for new sites at the beginning of 2014.

Figure 5. DORIS stations co-located with other techniques (VLBI, SLR, GNSS)
6 THE SATELLITES WITH DORIS RECEIVERS

Pascale Ferrage
CNES, France

Initially conceived for the TOPEX/Poseidon mission, the first generation receivers were flown on four satellites:

- SPOT-2, a CNES remote sensing satellite which was launched in 1990 with the first DORIS receiver for a 6-month trial experiment. SPOT-2 was de-orbited in June 2009 (maneuvers were performed in order to lower the orbit so that the spacecraft will re-enter the Earth’s atmosphere within 25 years). DORIS operated for more than 19 years on-board SPOT-2, far beyond the instrument and spacecraft nominal lifetime.

- TOPEX/Poseidon, a joint venture between CNES and NASA to map ocean surface topography, was launched in 1992. While a 3-year prime mission was planned, with a 5-year store of expendables, TOPEX/Poseidon delivered an astonishing 13+ years of data from orbit: the DORIS mission ended with the second receiver failure in November 2004 whereas the ocean surface topography mapping ended in October 2005.

- SPOT-3 (CNES) was launched in 1993; the spacecraft was lost in November 1996

- SPOT-4 (CNES) was launched in 1998 and featured the first DORIS real time on-board orbit determination (DIODE). After the great success of the mission (15 years) the satellite was decommissioned in June 2013.

In the mid-nineties, CNES developed a second-generation dual channel DORIS receiver that was subsequently miniaturized:

- Jason-1, the CNES/NASA TOPEX follow-on mission was launched on December 7, 2001 with a miniaturized second generation DORIS receiver. The receiver was switched on December 8. The orbit accuracy of Jason-1 has been demonstrated to be close to one cm in the radial component (Luthcke et al. 2003; Haines et al. 2004). At the present time, Jason-1 DORIS measurements are not used for geodesy, owing to the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) effect on the on-board Ultra Stable Oscillator (USO) (Willis et al. 2004), however a correction model has been developed (Lemoine and Capdeville 2006). Jason-1 was passivated and decommissioned on 01 July 2013, terminating the Jason-1 mission after 11.5 years of operations.
• Envisat, the ESA mission to ensure the continuity of the data measurements of the ESA ERS satellites was launched on March 1, 2002 with a second generation DORIS receiver. In April 2012, few weeks after celebrating its tenth year of service, Envisat has stopped sending data to Earth. Esa declared the end of mission for Envisat on May 9th, 2012.

• SPOT-5 (CNES) was launched on May 4, 2002 with a miniaturized second generation DORIS receiver.

Then, a new generation DORIS receiver was developed starting in 2005. This receiver called DGXX, includes the following main new features:

1. The simultaneous tracking capability was increased to seven beacons (from only two in the previous generation of receivers)
2. The new generation USO design provides better frequency stability while crossing SAA, and a better quality of MOE useful for beacon location determination.
3. New DIODE navigation software (improved accuracy)

The following satellites have on board a DGXX receiver:

• OSTM/Jason-2 (CNES/NASA/EUMETSAT/NOAA), a TOPEX/Poseidon and Jason-1 follow-on ocean observation mission (same orbit), was launched on June 20, 2008. Jason-2 is based on the same PROTEUS platform as Jason-1, but carries the DGXX DORIS.

• Cryosat-2, the ESA mission dedicated to polar observation, was launched on April 10, 2010 with a DGXX DORIS receiver.

• HY-2A, a Chinese satellite (China Academy of Space) was launched on August 15, 2011 with a DGXX receiver.

• SARAL-Altika Indian-French satellite (ISRO/CNES) was launched on February 25 2013

Moreover, the satellite STPSAT1 (Plasma Physics and Space Systems Development Divisions, Naval Research Laboratory) with a CITRIS receiver to be used with the DORIS beacon network, was launched on March 9, 2007. This experiment was dedicated to global ionospheric measurements.

Figure 6 gives a summary of the satellites that provide DORIS data to the IDS data centers, as well as the evolution in time of the number of these satellites. Some of the early SPOT-2 data could not be recovered between 1990 and 1992, due to computer and data format limitations. With the exception of this time period, all DORIS-equipped satellites have provided continuous data to the IDS data centers. Please note the large increase in the number of DORIS satellites around mid-2002.
Some other DORIS missions are under development and should guarantee a constellation with at least 4 DORIS contributor satellites through 2030 (Figure 7):

- **SENTINEL3A** (GMES/ESA) is planned for end 2015, then **SENTINEL 3B** 12 to 30 months later.
- **Jason-3** (EUMETSAT/NOAA/CNES) is foreseen for March 2015
- **Jason CS** (Eumetsat/ESA/CNES) is expected from 2020
- **SWOT** is foreseen for 2020
Figure 7. Current and future DORIS constellation (December 2013).
7 IDS DATA FLOW COORDINATION

Carey Noll
NASA/GSFC, USA

7.1 INTRODUCTION

Two data centers support the archiving and access activities for the IDS:

- Crustal Dynamics Data Information System (CDDIS), NASA GSFC, Greenbelt, MD USA
- Institut National de l'Information Géographique et Forestière (IGN), Marne la Vallee France

These institutions have archived DORIS data since the launch of TOPEX/Poseidon in 1992.

7.2 FLOW OF IDS DATA AND PRODUCTS

The flow of data, products, and information within the IDS is similar to what is utilized in the other IAG geometric services (IGS, ILRS, IVS) and is shown in Figure 8. IDS data and products are transmitted from their sources to the IDS data centers. DORIS data are downloaded from the satellite at the DORIS control and processing center, SSALTO (Segment Sol multi-missions d'ALTimétrie, d'Orbitographie et de localisation précise) in Toulouse, France. After validation, SSALTO transmits the data to the IDS data centers. IDS analysis centers, as well as other users, retrieve these data files from the data centers and produce products, which in turn are transmitted to the IDS data centers.
Network Stations
- Continuously operational
- Timely flow of data

Data Centers
- Interface to network stations
- Perform QC and data conversion activities
- Archive data for access to analysis centers and users

Analysis Centers
- Provide products to users (e.g., station coordinates, precise satellite orbits, Earth orientation parameters, atmos. products, etc.)

Central Bureau/Coordinating Center
- Management of service
- Facilitate communications
- Coordinate activities

Governing Body
- General oversight of service
- Future direction

The IDS data centers use a common structure for directories and filenames that was implemented in January 2003. This structure is shown in Table 4 and fully described on the IDS Central Bureau website at http://ids-doris.org/analysis-documents/struct-dc.html. The main directories are:

- `/pub/doris/data` (for all data) with subdirectories by satellite code
- `/pub/doris/products` (for all products) with subdirectories by product type and analysis center
- `/pub/doris/ancillary` (for supplemental information) with subdirectories by information type
- `/pub/doris/cb_mirror` (duplicate of the IDS Central Bureau ftp site) with general information and data and product documentation (maintained by the IDS Central Bureau)

The DORIS mission support ground segment group, SSALTO (Segment Sol multimissions d’ALTimétrie, d’Orbitographie et de localisation précise), and the analysis centers deliver data and products to both IDS data centers (CDDIS and IGN) to ensure redundancy in data delivery in the event one data center is unavailable. The general information available through the IDS Central Bureau ftp site are mirrored by the IDS data centers thus providing users secondary locations for these files as well.
### Data Directories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directory</th>
<th>File Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/doris/data/sss</td>
<td>sssdataMMMM.LLL.Z</td>
<td>DORIS data for satellite sss, cycle number MMM, and version LLL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sss.files</td>
<td>File containing multi-day cycle filenames versus time span for satellite sss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/doris/data/sss/sum</td>
<td>sssdataMMMM.LLL.s</td>
<td>Summary of contents of DORIS data file for satellite sss, cycle number MMM, and file version number LLL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/doris/data/sss/yyyy</td>
<td>sssrxYYDDD.LLL.Z</td>
<td>DORIS data (RINEX format) for satellite sss, date YYDDD, version number LLL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/doris/data/sss/yyyy/sum</td>
<td>m.Z</td>
<td>Summary of contents of DORIS data file for satellite sss, cycle number MMM, and file version number LLL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Product Directories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directory</th>
<th>File Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/doris/products/2010campaign/ccc</td>
<td>cccYYDDDtuVV.sss.Z</td>
<td>Time series SINEX solutions for analysis center ccc, starting on year YY and day of year DDD, type t (m=monthly, w=weekly, d=daily) solution, content u (d=DORIS, c=multi-technique), and solution version VV for satellite sss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/doris/products/eop/ccc</td>
<td>cccWWtuVV.eop.Z</td>
<td>Earth orientation parameter solutions for analysis center ccc, for year WW, type t (m=monthly, w=weekly, d=daily), content u (d=DORIS, c=multi-technique), and solution version VV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/doris/products/geoc/ccc</td>
<td>cccWWtuVV.geoc.Z</td>
<td>TRF origin (geocenter) solutions for analysis center ccc, for year WW, type t (m=monthly, w=weekly, d=daily), content u (d=DORIS, c=multi-technique), and solution version VV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/doris/products/ono/sss</td>
<td>sssssssVV.YYDD.D.iono.Z</td>
<td>Ionosphere products for analysis center ccc, satellite sss, solution version VV, and starting on year YY and day of year DDD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/doris/products/orbits/ccc</td>
<td>cccssssVV.bXXX DD.eYYEEE.sp1.LL.Z</td>
<td>Satellite orbits in SP1 or SP3c format from analysis center ccc, satellite sss, solution version VV, start date year XX and day DDD, end date year YY and day EEE, and file version number LLL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/doris/products/sinem_global/ccc</td>
<td>cccWWuVV.snx.Z</td>
<td>Global SINEX solutions of station coordinates for analysis center ccc, year WW, content u (d=DORIS, c=multi-technique), and solution version VV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/doris/products/sinem_series/ccc</td>
<td>cccYYDDDtuVV.snx.Z</td>
<td>Time series SINEX solutions for analysis center ccc, starting on year YY and day of year DDD, type t (m=monthly, w=weekly, d=daily) solution, content u (d=DORIS, c=multi-technique), and solution version VV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/doris/products/stdc/ccc</td>
<td>cccWWtu/cccWWtuVV.stcd.aaaa.Z</td>
<td>Station coordinate time series SINEX solutions for analysis center ccc, for year WW, type t (m=monthly, w=weekly, d=daily), content u (d=DORIS, c=multi-technique), solution version VV, for station aaaa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Information Directories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directory</th>
<th>File Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/doris/ancillary/quarters</td>
<td>sss/qbodyYYYYMMDDHHMISS_YYYYMddhhmmiss.LLL</td>
<td>Spacecraft body quaternions for satellite sss, start date/time YYYYMMDDHHMISS, end date/time yyyyMMddhhmmiss, and version number LLL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sss/qsolpYYYYMMDDHHMISS_YYYYMddhhmmiss.LLL</td>
<td>Spacecraft solar panel angular positions for satellite sss, start date/time YYYYMMDDHHMISS, end date/time yyyyMMddhhmmiss, and version number LLL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/doris/cb_mirror</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mirror of IDS central bureau files</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Table 4. Main Directories for IDS Data, Products, and General Information |
7.3 DORIS DATA

SSALTO deposits DORIS data to the CDDIS and IGN servers. Software at the data centers scans these incoming data areas for new files and automatically archives the files to public disk areas using the directory structure and filenames specified by the IDS. Today, the IDS data centers archive DORIS data from five operational satellites (CryoSat-2, HY-2A, Jason-2, SARAL, and SPOT-5); data from future missions will also be archived within the IDS. Historic data from Envisat, Jason-1 (mission ended in June 2013), SPOT-2, -3, -4 (mission ended in June 2013), and TOPEX/Poseidon, are also available at the data centers. A summary of DORIS data holdings at the IDS data centers is shown in Table 5. The DORIS data from all satellites are archived in multi-day (satellite dependent) files using the DORIS data format 2.1 (since January 15, 2002). This format for DORIS data files is on average two Mbytes in size (using UNIX compression). SSALTO issues an email notification through DORISReport once data are delivered to the IDS data centers. The number of days per file and average latency in 2013 of data availability after the last observation day satellite specific are shown in Table 6. The delay in data delivery to the data centers (in days by satellite) in 2013 is shown in Figure 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satellite</th>
<th>Time Span</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CryoSat-2</td>
<td>30-May-2010 through present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Envisat</td>
<td>13-Jun-2002 through 08-Apr-2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HY-2A</td>
<td>01-Oct-2011 through present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason-1</td>
<td>15-Jan-2002 through 21-Jun-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason-2</td>
<td>12-Jul-2008 through present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARAL</td>
<td>14-Mar-2013 through present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOT-2</td>
<td>31-Mar through 04-Jul-1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04-Nov-1992 through 14-Jul-2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOT-3</td>
<td>01-Feb-1994 through 09-Nov-1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOT-4</td>
<td>01-May-1998 through 24-Jun-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOPEX/Poseidon</td>
<td>25-Sep-1992 through 01-Nov-2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. DORIS Data Holdings
### Table 6. Data file information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satellite</th>
<th>Number of Days/Multi-Day File</th>
<th>Average Latency (Days)</th>
<th>Average File Size (Mb)</th>
<th>Average File Size (Mb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CryoSat-2</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HY-2A</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason-1</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason-2</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARAL</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOT-4</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOT-5</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DORIS phase data from Jason-2, CryoSat-2, SARAL, and HY-2A are also available in the format developed for GNSS data, RINEX (Receiver Independent Exchange Format), version 3.0. These satellites have the newer, next generation DORIS instrumentation on board, which is capable of generating DORIS data in RINEX format; future satellites will also utilize this type of DORIS receiver. These data are forwarded to the IDS data centers in daily files prior to orbit processing within one day (typically) following the end of the observation day.

In the fall of 2012, the IDS Analysis Working Group requested a test data set where data from stations in the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) were reprocessed by applying corrective models. Data from 2011 in DORIS V2.2 format from the Jason-1 satellite (cycles 331 through 368) were submitted to the IDS data centers in late 2012; a set of SPOT-5 data (cycles 138 through 432, 2006 through 2013) were provided in 2013. These files were submitted to the IDS data centers and archived in dedicated directories, e.g., at CDDIS:

- [ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/doris/campdata/ssacorrection/ja1](ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/doris/campdata/ssacorrection/ja1)
- [ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/doris/campdata/ssacorrection/sp5](ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/doris/campdata/ssacorrection/sp5)
7.4 DORIS PRODUCTS

IDS analysis centers utilize similar procedures by putting products to the CDDIS and IGN servers. Automated software detects any incoming product files and archives them to the appropriate product-specific directory. The following analysis centers (ACs) have submitted products on an operational basis to the IDS; their AC code is listed in ():

- European Space Agency (esa), Germany
- Geoscience Australia (gau) (historic AC)
- Geodetic Observatory Pecny (gop), Czech Republic
- NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (gsc) USA
- Institut Géographique National/JPL (ign) France
- INASAN (ina) Russia
- CNES/CLS (lca) France
- CNES/SOD (sod) France
- SSALTO (ssa) France

Figure 9. Delay in delivery of DORIS data to the CDDIS (all satellites, 01-12/2013)
### Table 7. IDS Product Types and Contributing Analysis Centers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Product</th>
<th>ACs/Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time series of SINEX solutions (<em>sinex_series</em>)</td>
<td>X X X X X X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global SINEX solutions (<em>sinex_global</em>)</td>
<td>X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geocenter time series (<em>geoc</em>)</td>
<td>X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbits/satellite (<em>orbits</em>)</td>
<td>X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionosphere products/satellite (<em>iono</em>)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time series of EOP (<em>eop</em>)</td>
<td>X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time series of station coordinates (<em>stcd</em>)</td>
<td>X X X X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time series of SINEX solutions (2010 campaign)</td>
<td>X X X X X X X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: GAU historic solution*

A solution (designated “ids”) produced by the IDS combination center from the individual IDS AC solutions started production in 2012. IDS products are archived by type of solution and analysis center. The types and sources of products available through the IDS data centers in 2005-2013 are shown in Table 7. This table also includes a list of products under evaluation from several DORIS analysis centers.

### 7.5 SUPPLEMENTARY DORIS INFORMATION

In 2009 an additional directory structure was installed at the IDS data centers containing ancillary information for DORIS data and product usage. Files of Jason-1, -2, and SARAL satellite attitude information were made available through the IDS data centers. Two types of files are available for each satellite: attitude quaternions for the body of the spacecraft and solar panel angular positions. The files are delivered daily and contain 28 hours of data, with 2 hours overlapping between consecutive files. Analysts can use these files in processing DORIS data to determine satellite orientation and attitude information.
7.6 FUTURE PLANS
The CDDIS and IGN provide reports that list holdings of DORIS data in the DORIS format. The IDS data centers will also investigate procedures to regularly compare holdings of data and products to ensure that the archives are truly identical.
8 IDS DATA CENTERS

Carey Noll (1), B. Garayt (2)

(1) NASA/GSFC, USA
(2) IGN, France

8.1 CRUSTAL DYNAMICS DATA INFORMATION SYSTEM (CDDIS)

The CDDIS is a dedicated data center supporting the international space geodesy community since 1982. The CDDIS serves as one of the primary data centers for the following IAG services:

- International GNSS Service (IGS)
- International Laser Ranging Service (ILRS)
- International VLBI Service for Geodesy and Astrometry (IVS)
- International DORIS Service (IDS)
- International Earth Rotation and Reference Frame Service (IERS)

The CDDIS automated software archives data submitted by SSALTO and performs minimal quality-checks (e.g., file readability, format compliance) resulting in a summary file for each data file. Software extracts metadata from all incoming DORIS data. These metadata include satellite, time span, station, and number of observations per pass. The metadata are loaded into a database and utilized to generate data holding reports on a daily basis. By the end of 2013, approximately 70 Gbytes of CDDIS disk space has been devoted to the archive of DORIS data, products, and information.

The CDDIS developed a file that summarizes the RINEX-formatted data holdings each day. Information provided in the status file includes satellite, start and end date/time, receiver/satellite configuration information, number of stations tracking, and observation types. These files are accessible in the DORIS data subdirectory on CDDIS, ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/doris/data.

The CDDIS provided special, limited access space in its archive for IDS Analysis Working Group (AWG) test solutions. This area allowed AWG members to exchange SINEX and orbit files for analysis development and testing.

During 2013, user groups downloaded approximately 425 Gbytes (550K files) of DORIS data, products, and information from the CDDIS.
8.1.1 FUTURE PLANS

The CDDIS staff will continue to interface with the IDS Central Bureau (CB), SSALTO, and IDS analysis centers to ensure reliable flow of DORIS data, products, and information. Enhancements and modifications to the data center will be made in coordination with the IDS CB. The CDDIS staff is currently assessing its system hardware architecture and near-term requirements. Plans are to procure new server hardware in mid-2014 to expand on-line storage and ensure system reliability for the next few years.

The CDDIS staff will continue to interface with the IDS CB, SSALTO, and IDS analysis centers to ensure reliable flow of DORIS data, products, and information. Enhancements and modifications to the data center will be made in coordination with the IDS CB.

8.1.2 CONTACT

Carey Noll, CDDIS Manager
NASA GSFC
Code 690.1
Greenbelt, MD 20771
USA

Email: Carey.Noll@nasa.gov
Voice: 301-614-6542
Fax: 301-614-6015
ftp: ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/doris
WWW: http://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov

8.2 IGN DORIS DATA CENTER

To ensure a more reliable data flow and a better availability of the service, two identical layouts have been setup in two different locations at the IGN: (1) Marne-la-Vallée and (2) Saint-Mandé. Each site has:

- a FTP deposit server for data and analysis centers uploads, requiring special authentication
- a free FTP anonymous access to the observations and products
- an independent Internet links.

All the data and products archived and available at IGN GDC may be access through:

- ftp://doris.ensg.eu for the Marne-la-Vallée site
- ftp://doris.ign.fr for the Saint-Mandé site

During year 2013, the two IGN data centers had a nominal functioning. They have been enhanced with new products, in cooperation with the IDS CD and in line with CDDIS.
8.2.1 CONTACT

Bruno GARAYT
Institut National de l’Information Géographique et Forestière
Service de Géodésie et Nivellement
73, Avenue de Paris
94165 Saint-Mandé Cedex FRANCE

Email: rsi.sgn@ign.fr
Phone: +33 (0)1 43 98 81 97
Fax: +33 (0)1 43 98 84 50
9 IDS COMBINATION

Guilhem Moreaux
CLS, France

9.1 ACTIVITY SUMMARY

IDS combination activities in 2013 were devoted to i) the pursuit of the IDS combination and the improvement of the operational chain, ii) preparation to ITRF2013 and iii) communications at EGU and AGU meetings.

9.2 IDS ROUTINE COMBINATION

The last 12 months were dedicated to the pursuit of the multi ACs weekly SINEXs combination. We remind that the IDS Combination Center also produces stations coordinates times series (in so-called STCD format) associated to the combined solution as well as for both ESA and GSC Analysis Centers.

At the end of 2013, the combined solution was available until end of first quarter of 2013. The remaining quarters of 2013 should be evaluated and combined early 2014. Different weighting strategies of ACs EOPs solutions in the combined product were tested. Based on rotations parameters criteria, these strategies were judged unsatisfactory as they add a negative impact on stations positions.

The last quarter of 2013 was also used to make to slight improvements in the evaluation and combination chains. In addition, a small bug of the EOPs projections in ITRF has been corrected and the IDS CC was involved in the elaboration of a new version of the IDS web service to interactively display Helmert parameters as output of the evaluation process.

9.3 ITRF2013 PREPARATION

To better estimate processing time which will be necessary to evaluate all the individual solutions from the ACs, early 2013, the IDS CC evaluated for each AC the longest SINEXs series in both terms of stations positions and EOPs. For example, frequency analysis of the Helmert parameters revealed some annual periodic signals in Tx and Ty for most of the ACs (Figure 10).
Based on the IDS Combination Center presentation done during AGU 2012 Fall meeting, ESA and GSC started 2013 by implementing beacon frequency variations. As depicted by Figure 11 and Figure 12, the updated series ESA 07 and GSC 18 have no longer scale jumps early 2002 while DORIS data format has changed.

In the context of forthcoming ITRF2013, IDS asked all the ACs to send single satellite solutions over 1995 and 2011 for evaluation and discussions at the AWG held in Toulouse (April 2013). From the evaluation of these single satellite solutions, the Combination Center pointed out that some efforts were still remaining in order to improve HY-2A modeling which presented highest scale values wrt ITRF2008. We also bring to the attention of the ACs the presence of signals of periods of 120 and 180 days in the Tz parameter of Cryosat-2 (see for example Figure 13).
With the delivery by CNES of both Starec and Alcatel DORIS antennas phase laws, the two last quarters of 2013 were devoted to the evaluation by IDS CC of the impact of including these phase laws. Based on the evaluation of GOP, GSC and LCA tests series presented during the AWG in Washington (October 2013), and as expected, the major impact of the phase laws is on the scale (Figure 14). So far, some additional tests have to be performed in order to precisely understand the influence of these laws, notably to see any dependence with the time evolution of the network in terms of antennas type as well as any correlation with arrival of new DG-XX missions which allow more data at lower elevations. Nevertheless, due to the impact of the phase laws on the scale, all the IDS ACs agreed in Washington that those who will not use the phase law, will participate in the combination but not for the combined scale from DORIS.

In order to not consider in combined scale any contribution from all the ACs which will not use phase laws, a new version of the combination scripts has been developed and successfully tested.
Figure 13. Frequency analysis of the Helmert translation parameters wrt ITRF2008 of Cryosat-2 solution from ESA

Figure 14. Scale impact of DORIS antennas phase laws as observed by GSC (red=series 20 without phase laws, blue = series 21 == series 20 + phase laws).
9.4 COMMUNICATIONS

The IDS Combination Center joined both EGU and AGU fall meetings where it presented two oral presentations respectively titled “Impact of beacon frequency changes on the DORIS contribution to ITRF2008” and “Status of DORIS contribution to ITRF2013”. An abstract on the DORIS contribution to ITRF2013 was also submitted for oral presentation at EGU 2014.

9.5 FUTURE PLANS

The activity of the IDS Combination Center in 2014 will be mainly devoted to the elaboration of the IDS contribution to ITRF2013.
10 REPORT OF THE ESA/ESOC ANALYSIS CENTER (ESA)
Michiel Otten, Claudia Flohrer, Werner Enderle
European Space Operation Centre, Darmstadt, Germany

10.1 INTRODUCTION
The activities in 2013 of the European Space Operation Centre focused on the generation of the ESA IDS contribution to ITRF2013. As a result of various test performed throughout the year we have generated a new ESA IDS solution (esawd10). This solution has been made available to the combination centre and after the finalization of the ITRF2013 activities will be made available on CDDIS. Further this solution will also become the new ESA routine solution for 2014 onwards.

10.2 TESTING FOR ITRF2013 AND THE NEW ESAWD10 SOLUTION
The esawd07 solution released in 2012 contained major improvements to our modeling and was our first solution covering the period from 1993 until 2012. In 2013 we have generated several further test solutions that accumulated into the final esawd10 solution. Many minor changes were made but some of the major differences came from the following changes:

- Starec and Alcatel phase law correction applied
- Inclusion of Jason-1 from 2002 until launch of Jason-2
- Switch to EIGEN-6S2.5 model for gravity
- Proper handling of the TOPEX and SPOT-5 solar array offset angle
- Retuning of Solar radiation coefficients for all satellites based on each mission entire duration instead of selected period as done before
- Switch from NRLMSISE-90 to NRLMSISE-00 model for neutral atmosphere density calculation

This updated solution covers the entire IDS processing period from 1993 until 2014 and has been delivered to the combination centre and after the closure of the ITRF2013 activities will be made available on CDDIS.

Further we continue to participate in the combination on the observation level campaign (COL) and as part of these activities have generate a ESA solution in which we have combined all
space born geodetic data (SLR, DORIS and GNSS) on the observation level for the first few months for 2013 and presented the results at the EGU.

10.3 FUTURE ACTIVITIES
The Navigation Support Office plans for 2014 to switch to processing the DORIS RINEX data for Jason-2, Cryosat-2 and HY-2A instead of the older DORIS Data Exchange Format.
11 REPORT OF THE GEODETICAL OBSERVATORY PECNY ANALYSIS CENTER (GOP)

Petr Stepanek
Geodesy Observatory Pecný, Research Institute of Geodesy, Czech Republic

11.1 INTRODUCTION
The activities of GOP analysis center focused on the preparation and initiation of the DORIS data re-processing for new ITRF. The complex tests of the orbit modeling parameters were realized, with emphasis on the accuracy of the estimated station coordinates, Earth rotation pole coordinates and transformation parameters of the solution w.r.t. ITRF. Another part of work consisted in the implementation of the new standards recommended by IDS and the testing of their impact on the solution.

11.2 IMPACT OF THE ORBIT MODELING ON THE STATION COORDINATE AND ERP ESTIMATES
A complex set of the tests was performed to study impact of chosen orbit modeling options with the goal to optimize the orbit modeling for the IRTF data reprocessing. The results are published in (Štěpánek et al. 2014).

In a series of experiments, the DORIS data were processed with different orbit model settings. Initially, the data from the complete year 2011 were processed. At the next step, the time span was extended to 1995, 2011 and 2001-2004. A number of factors were analyzed. First, the impact of precise modeling of the non-conservative forces on geodetic parameters was compared with results obtained with an empirical-stochastic modeling approach. Second, the temporal spacing of drag scaling parameters was tested. Third, the impact of estimating once-per-revolution harmonic accelerations in cross-track direction was analyzed. And fourth, two different approaches for solar radiation pressure (SRP) handling were compared, namely adjusting SRP scaling parameter or fixing it on pre-defined values.

Our analyses confirm that the empirical-stochastic orbit modeling approach, which does not require satellite attitude information and macro models, results for most of the monitored station parameters in comparable accuracy as the dynamical model that employs precise non-conservative force modeling. However, the dynamical orbit model leads to a reduction of the RMS values for the estimated rotation pole coordinates by 17% for x-pole and 12% for y-pole.

The experiments show that adjusting atmospheric drag scaling parameters each 30 minutes is more appropriate for DORIS solutions than to handle the parameter only each two hours, when this conclusion is relevant even for the middle solar activity period. Moreover, it was shown that the adjustment of cross-track once-per-revolution empirical parameter significantly increases the RMS of the estimated Earth rotation pole coordinates. With recent data it was however not
possible to confirm the previously known high annual variation in the estimated Geocenter Z-translation series as well as its mitigation by fixing the SRP parameters on pre-defined values (Gobindass et al. 2009).

11.3 ITRF RE-PROCESSING

The re-processing for new ITRF started in the last quarter of 2013. The modeling standards were considerably updated to come up to orbit modeling testing and to meet IDS recommendations. The major difference w.r.t. operative GOP solutions wd3X is the application of the dynamical orbital modeling, including the non-conservative force modeling and satellite attitude models application. To be consistent with IDS recommendations, additional updates regarded the gravity filed, antenna phase law, mean pole definition and application of SPOT-5 SAA corrections were built up. The differences between the new and the previous standards are summarized in Table 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solutions wd3X (old)</th>
<th>Solutions wd4X (new)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orbit modeling</td>
<td>Empirical- stochastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite macro models</td>
<td>Not applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Rad. Pressure</td>
<td>Empirical sun-satellite 1/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmosphere drag</td>
<td>Stochastic par. In along track each 15 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmosphere density model</td>
<td>Not Applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth radiation</td>
<td>Not applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pole</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravity</td>
<td>EIGEN-GL04C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna Phase law</td>
<td>Not applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOT-5 SAA</td>
<td>Not corrected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Summary of major differences between solution standards for current ITRF re-processing (wd4X) and the previous solutions (wd3X)
12 REPORT OF THE GSFC/NASA ANALYSIS CENTER (GSC)

Frank G. Lemoine (1), Nikita P. Zelensky (2), Karine Le Bail (1,3), Douglas S. Chinn (2), J. W. Beall (4,1)

(1) GSFC/NASA, USA  
(2) SGT/NASA, USA  
(3) NVI Inc., Greenbelt, Maryland, U.S.A  
(4) Mission Operations and Services, Riverdale, Maryland, U.S.A

In 2013, we concentrated intensively on the preparations for the reprocessing of the DORIS data to prepare the GSC contribution to the DORIS combination for ITRF2013. In addition, we initiated the processing data to new DORIS satellites, SARAL and HY-2A, and evaluated the contribution of HY-2A as potential part of the combination for ITRF2013. In addition, we continued routine (quarterly) deliveries of SINEX files.

As preparation for ITRF2013, the following modeling changes were implemented to the previous operational series (wd12).

1. New Gravity Model (Static)

   GOCO2S (Goiinger et al. 2011) instead EIGEN-GL04S1 (Förste et al. 2008)

2. New Gravity Model (Time-Varying)

   At GSFC we have developed a 5x5 time series from SLR & DORIS tracking to up to 15 satellites. We have done harmonic fits to this time series adjusting coefficients as appropriate (rates, annual, semiannual, and for C20 an 18.6 yr harmonic). This "fit" model now represents a conventional model we can apply in the DORIS processing. The C21/S21 terms are represented according to IERS 2010 conventions (Petit and Luzum 2010).

3. Troposphere.

   - The GMF (Boehm et al. 2006a) mapping function is used instead of Niell.
   - The Saastomoinen troposphere model is used instead of Hopfield.
- GPT is used for the a priori met data (no change from gscwd11, gscwd12) (Boehm et al. 2007)
- Only the wet troposphere delay scale factor is adjusted.

4. Update of Ocean Tide and Loading Model: GOT4.8 instead of GOT4.7. The only change between GOT4.7 and GOT4.8 involved an update of the S2 harmonic.

5. More rigorous editing is applied at the SINEX formation level.

We have edited stations with fewer than 250 observations in a given week, because we found in our inversion tests, these perturbed unreasonably the weekly set of estimated Helmert parameters.

6. Macromodels were updated for SPOT-2, SPOT-3. A correction was made in the modeling of Envisat. The orientation of the solar array in Envisat macromodel used for drag and albedo/IR computations was corrected over what had previously been used for ITRF2008. Also, a correction was implemented in the Envisat/UCL model, where the area specified for solar array thermal re-radiation was corrected.

7. The IERS2010 pole model, specified in the IERS 2010 standards (Petit and Luzum 2010) was applied; In addition the background model for C_{21} and S_{21} was applied as specified in equation 6.5 of Petit and Luzum (2010).

8. More frequent drag coefficient adjustments were made as per the recommendations of the IDS AWG (Toulouse, 2013).

9. A DORIS time bias was applied for TOPEX/Poseidon data processing derived from joint analysis of the SLR+DORIS data to this satellite. This mitigates the sometimes 10’s of milliseconds excursions in the DORIS time bias observed in some parts of the TOPEX mission.

10. The Starec and Alcatel DORIS antenna phase law was implemented in GEODYN and thoroughly validated.

11. In the wd21 series, in GEODYN, we directly apply the DORIS tracking point offsets (on the spacecraft) and the vertical antenna eccentricity. In the earlier series, we used the center-of-mass corrections directly from the DORIS2.2 format data. This step was necessary since the application of the DORIS antenna phase map in GEODYN would only occur if tracking point offsets at the spacecraft were computed directly in GEODYN. We thus validated first the application of the measurement offsets, and then the application of the antenna phase law.

A summary of the GSC SINEX series produced in the calendar year 2013, as a part of the ITRF2013 activities, is summarized in Table 9. All the SINEX series discussed involved a complete reprocessing of all available data (1992-2013), each time with different sets of...
improvements. In Table 10, we summarize the RMS of fit to the various satellites for the different SINEX series. As a general rule, when we compute a new DORIS SINEX series, we always also converge a companion SLR+DORIS series of orbits, so we can verify that the changes we have implemented have not deleteriously affected the processing. Thus, we show the SLR fit of these companion orbits, and as a matter of course we intercompare the SLR+DORIS and DORIS-only orbits for those satellites that have both sets of tracking data available. We note that between the wd18 and the wd20 series, we updated the attitude model for Cryosat-2 in GEODYN. The series wd20 and wd21 use the quaternions supplied by E.J.O. Schrama (TU Delft). The use of the quaternions corrected an orientation offset that affected the earlier Cryosat-2 orbit computations.

| gscwd12 | Previous operational series. Continuation of ITRF2008 modeling and standards. |
| gscwd17 | Internal series. Test of macromodel-related changes only (SPOT-2, SPOT-3, Envisat) |
| gscwd18 | New complete time series (1992-2012) with macromodel updates (SPOT-2, SPOT-3, Envisat) + implementation of modeling to handle DORIS station frequency changes. DORISReport 3426 (06-Sept-2013). |
| gscwd21 | Apply DORIS COM & offsets (with attitude law or quaternions), and apply Phase Law for Alcatel and Starec antennae. Series delivered to IDS Combination Center for evaluation (not a public series). |

Table 9. Summary of GSC SINEX Series for 2013
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satellite &amp; Data</th>
<th>wd12</th>
<th>wd15</th>
<th>wd17</th>
<th>wd18</th>
<th>wd20</th>
<th>wd21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Envisat (slr+dor) (SLR) (DORIS)</td>
<td>1.272</td>
<td>1.289</td>
<td>1.162</td>
<td>1.165</td>
<td>1.146</td>
<td>1.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOPEX (slr+dor) (SLR) (DORIS)</td>
<td>1.701</td>
<td>1.679</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.677</td>
<td>1.684</td>
<td>Dor only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason2 (slr+dor) (SLR) (DORIS)</td>
<td>1.215</td>
<td>1.165</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.159</td>
<td>1.167</td>
<td>Dor only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>.377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryosat2 (slr+dor) (SLR) (DORIS)</td>
<td>2.131</td>
<td>1.850</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.851</td>
<td>1.283</td>
<td>Dor only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOT-2</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.477</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>0.476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOT-4</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOT-5 (2002.0-2006.0 no SAA corr.) (2006.0-2013.0 w. SAA corr.)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.453</td>
<td>.453</td>
<td>.454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.425</td>
<td>.422</td>
<td>.422</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10. Summary of RMS of fit for SLR and DORIS arcs (SLR fits, cm; DORIS fits, mm/s)

### 12.1 IMPACT OF IMPROVED FREQUENCY BIAS MODELING

From 2002 onward, the DORIS2.2 data files used systematically a nominal value of the beacon frequency, rather than the actual frequency. Hence, the partial derivatives in GEODYN must be updated to allow for a correction (deviation) from this nominal frequency. The change alters dramatically the scale of the series, and removes sporadic jumps in the station height for some stations. We show in Figure 15 the impact on the DORIS scale, by comparing the wd15 SINEX series (where the frequency bias correction was not applied), and the wd18 SINEX series (where the frequency bias correction was applied).
12.2 IMPROVED NON-CONSERVATIVE FORCE MODELING

We re-evaluated the performance of the non-conservative force modeling for the all the DORIS satellites, by analyzing the residual empirical accelerations (once-per-rev's) for all the DORIS satellites. The previous modeling was as described by Le Bail et al. (2010), while for Envisat we used the UCL model (Ziebart et al. 2005; Sibthorpe 2006) for solar radiation pressure, together with a CNES-supplied macromodel for atmospheric drag and planetary radiation pressure. The SPOT-2, SPOT-3 performances (from the values of the empirical once-per-revolution accelerations, OPR’s) were clearly outliers, and after re-tuning, the residual OPR’s were reduced. For Envisat, we corrected an error in the orientation of the normal vectors of the solar array for the macromodel. The results also showed increased OPR’s associated with SPOT-5 after March 2012 – which was traced to unmodeled changes in the SPOT-5 solar array pitch after March 2012. The CNES provided updated values of the SPOT-5 solar array pitch which we implemented for the wd20 and wd21 SINEX series.

Figure 15. DORIS Scale for wrt. DPOD2008 for the GSC SINEX series wd15 (frequency bias correction to partial derivatives not applied), with wd18 (frequency bias correction to the partial derivatives are applied)
As an illustration, we show in Figure 16 the daily along-track empirical acceleration amplitudes for SPOT-2 early in the mission, for the ITRF2008-derived model, and the new model. The model changes the values of two parameters, the $-Y$ specular reflectivity and the solar array (Sun-facing) specular reflectivity. We summarize the improvements in the empirical accelerations in Table 11 for SPOT-2, and Table 12 for SPOT-3. In both cases, the new model reduces the median value of the amplitude of the along-track accelerations. High values of these empirical accelerations are indicative of solar radiation pressure mismodeling, which has previously been shown to correlate with mismodeling of geophysical parameters (e.g. Gobinddas et al. 2009). The tests we summarize in Table 11 and Table 12 were performed over generally quiet periods of the solar cycle. At the peak of the solar cycle (i.e. 2001-2002), the satellites at the SPOT altitude are very highly impacted by increased atmospheric drag, and the estimated values of the along-track empirical accelerations on SPOT-2 (for example) increase to as high as $7-9 \times 10^9$ m/s$^2$.

![Figure 16](image)

Figure 16. SPOT-2 amplitude of daily along-track once-per-rev accelerations, shown for 1992 to 1998 for the ITRF2008-derived macromodel (Le Bail et al., 2010), and the new returned macromodel. The units are m/s$^2$. 
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Along-track (units, $1.0e-9 \text{ m/s}^2$)</th>
<th>Cross-track (units, $1.0e-9 \text{ m/s}^2$)</th>
<th>Cr Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal (ITRF2008)</td>
<td>1.379</td>
<td>1.552</td>
<td>2.511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New (for ITRF2013)</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>2.701</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. SPOT-2 Macromodel Tests (1992-1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Along-track (units, $1.0e-9 \text{ m/s}^2$)</th>
<th>Cross-track (units, $1.0e-9 \text{ m/s}^2$)</th>
<th>Cr Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal (ITRF2008)</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New (for ITRF2013)</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.601</td>
<td>2.151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12. SPOT-3 Macromodel Tests (1994-1996)
12.3 APPLICATION OF THE DORIS ANTENNA PHASE LAWS

At the request of the IDS Governing Board, the CNES conducted tests of models of the Starec antenna to determine the variations in the antenna phase center. A phase center variation (PCV) model was reported by C. Tourain (IDS Workshop 2012; IDS AWG Toulouse 2013). The analysis working group decided to implement the PCV modeling as a baseline in the ITRF2013 processing. The PCV corrections are elevation but not azimuthally dependent, and can vary up to 20 mm in range over a range of elevations, for the Starec antenna. For the Alcatel antenna, the CNES only provided data from a manufacturer’s model. These PCV maps were implemented in the gscwd21 series, and we validated on a satellite-by-satellite basis, the application of the phase law either was neutral in terms of RMS of fit, or showed an improvement. We illustrate in Figure 17 the impact of the application of the Alcatel and Starec phase laws on the scale of the DORIS coordinate time series, wrt DPOD2008. In general there is a positive shift in scale whose magnitude is correlated with the number of Starec antenna present in the network.

![Figure 17. Scale of SINEX weekly series for GSC series gscwd20, and gscwd21, illustrating the impact of the application of the DORIS antenna phase map corrections for the Starec and Alcatel antennae. The scale is calculated w.r.t. DPOD2008 and the units are mm.](image-url)
13 REPORT OF THE IGN/JPL ANALYSIS CENTER (IGN)

Pascal Willis
IGN, France
Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, France

13.1 CONTEXT

The Institut Géographique National uses the GIPSY/OASIS software package (developed by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Caltech, USA) to generate all DORIS products for geodetic and geophysical applications. In 2013, IGN used the most recent versions (GOA 6.2 and successive development versions). This software package is installed on both sites at IGN in Saint-Mandé and at IPGP in Tolbiac. In 2013, all DORIS results were generated to IDS by the IPGP site. Computations are done routinely on a daily basis using a crontab command launching several scripts successively, first checking for new DORIS data availability. New solutions are then submitted simultaneously to both IGN and NASA/CDDIS data centers. Internal validation is done after the facts using an internal Web site available at IPGP, eventually leading to a few resubmissions of weekly SINEX solutions. In 2013, tests were conducted between an older 32-bit computer and a newly bought 64-bit computer purchased by IPGP. While routine processing continued as in 2012, new studies were also launched in preparation of ITRF2013. Only test solutions (orbit and single-satellite SINEX solutions) were submitted at the end of 2013 in view of ITRF2013.

13.2 PRODUCTS DELIVERED IN 2013

The latest delivered IGN weekly time series is still ignwd08 (in free-network). However, in parallel, another set of weekly solutions (ignwd10, projected from ignwd08 and later transformed into ITRF2008), were also submitted simultaneously. This is the solution that was used in preparation of ITRF2008 and available for combined solutions IDS-1, IDS-2 and IDS-3. It is the one still used by the IDS Combination Center. Models and strategy estimation remain unchanged but new SINEX solutions were generated every week (on average), usually 1 day after data delivery for the last satellite. Furthermore, new satellites have been incorporated in the solutions (Jason-2). However, solutions, including the more recent Chinese HY-2A satellite and Indian saral/AltiKa satellite, were computed for internal tests but not yet submitted. Following the delivery of the ignwd10 solution, all derived products were also updated every week to be compatible with ITRF2008. Newly resubmitted Envisat data were reprocessed for internal tests but are not part of the current results at the end of 2012. A significant improvement was obtained when using the new data from CNES (more numerous and profiting from a better time tagging procedure).
Products relying on ITRF2005 were discontinued in 2011, while products relying on ITRF2008 keep being submitted every week (see Table 13). However, in December a failure of the older 32-bit computer stopped the delivery of the routine products presented above. Taken into account the importance of the preparation of ITRF2013, these igwd08 and igwd10 series were stopped then. It is expected to start delivery of new DORIS products in early 2014. Due to lately detected problem, weekly sinex files for ignwd10 were not submitted in 2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Latest version</th>
<th>Update</th>
<th>Data span</th>
<th>Number of files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weekly SINEX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- free-network</td>
<td>ignwd08</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>1993.0-2012.9</td>
<td>1088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- in ITRF2008</td>
<td>ignwd10</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>1993.0-2012.0</td>
<td>1044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- summary files</td>
<td>ignwd08</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>1993.0-2012.9</td>
<td>1088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STCD</td>
<td>ign11d01</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>1993.0-2012.9</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geocenter</td>
<td>ign11d01</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>1993.0-2012.9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOPs</td>
<td>ign11d01</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>1993.0-2012.9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13. IGN products delivered at the IDS data centers in 2013. As of January 31, 2014

Besides these IDS products, several IGN results were also provided to the Analysis Coordinator for test purposes: satellite orbits in sp3 format, weekly SINEX solution by satellites, multi-satellites weekly SINEX solutions with or without Jason-2 data, satellites orbits for all satellites. These tests were conducted in view of the preparation of ITRF2013.

Comparisons of DORIS-derived tropospheric zenith delays were also performed towards GPS PPP solutions, including DORIS results since January 1993. For test purposes, VMF-1 mapping function was also used during all recent CONT campaigns leading to tropospheric comparisons toward VLBI and GPS estimates (Bock et al., under review). Systematic comparisons of horizontal tropospheric gradients were also generated with regards to GPS PPP solutions provided by Jet Propulsion Laboratory for the International GPS Service (Willis et al., 2014).

In 2013, new work was conducted toward the realization of a new DPOD2008 solution (terrestrial reference frame for precise orbit determination derived from ITRF2008), for which several updates were delivered and are still available at the following Web site: http://www.ipgp.fr/~willis/DPOD2008/. Version 1.13 is available at the end of 2013, including all possible DORIS stations (Willis et al., in press).
While no new velocity field solution was provided in 2013, a regional study was done for geodetic and geophysical consideration in Africa (Saria et al., 2013), within the scope of the AFREF project. The same is also true for the Gavdos calibration site for satellite altimeter calibration purposes (Willis et al., 2013).

**13.3 MAJOR IMPROVEMENTS IN 2013**

No major improvement was done for the ignwd08 solution, except the use of the newest satellites HY-2A and Saral/Alti-Ka (Willis et al., in press). Major improvements were considered for the next solutions that will be delivered in early 2014 for ITRF2013 submission. Previous improvements done in 2011 include:

- use of the more recent GGM03S gravity field (still without taking into account seasonal variations)
- rescaling of the solar radiation pressure models using an empirical coefficient determined using a large DORIS data set for each satellite. This mitigates errors in the Z-geocenter at periods of 118 days and 1 year and also improve vertical component of high latitude stations.
- hourly estimation of drag coefficient for lower DORIS satellites at 800 km. This avoids problem related to high geomagnetic activity (geomagnetic storm and maximum of 11-year solar cycle around 2001).

**13.4 NEW DEVELOPMENTS**

New developments in view of the future ITRF2013 solution have been conducted in 2013: using more recent gravity field (EIGEN6S2 and GOCO02S), or better a priori for station positions (DPOD2008 version 1.13). New developments were also realized in order to use more recent tropospheric mapping function and ZTD a priori (GPT and GPT2) but will not appear yet in the new solution. Observations at lower elevation (7 degrees instead of 10 degrees) will now be used in conjunction with the most recent VMF-1 mapping function. A sign error was also found when providing time series of geocenter coordinates. Previous series already available at CDDIS will not be corrected. However, the next time series will be properly corrected.
14 REPORT OF THE INASAN ANALYSIS CENTER (INA)

Sergey Kuzin, Suriya Tatevian
Institute of Astronomy Russian Academy of Sciences (INASAN), Russia

14.1 INTRODUCTION

In 2013, INASAN (ina) DORIS Analysis Center continued routine processing DORIS data using GIPSY-OASIS II software developed by JPL with DORIS part of GIPSY developed by IGN/JPL. Table 14 shows current products delivered by INASAN to the IDS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Latest version</th>
<th>Span</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sinex weekly free-network solutions</td>
<td>inawd07</td>
<td>1993.0 - 2013.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geocenter time series</td>
<td>ina10wd01</td>
<td>1993.0 - 2013.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOP time series</td>
<td>ina10wd01</td>
<td>1993.0 - 2013.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STCD time series</td>
<td>ina12wd01</td>
<td>1993.0 - 2012.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 14. INASAN products provided to the IDS (February 2014).

The files with the product description can be found at:

1) sinex series

2) geocenter
ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/doris/products/geoc/ina10wd01.geoc.dsc

3) EOP-series
ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/doris/products/eop/ina10wd01.eop.dsc

4) STCD-series
ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/doris/products/stcd/ina.stcd.readme
14.2 SOFTWARE UPDATE AND ANALYSIS RESULTS DESCRIPTIONS

During 2013 the GIPSY-OASIS software had some updates and currently we use 6.2 Linux version. All these updates mainly connected with the processing GNSS measurements and bugs fixed from previously used 6.1.2 release. There were no any improvements in the models and processing strategies for DORIS data. The all models and processing strategies are identical to those reported in IDS_Report_2011.pdf.

INA AC took part in the single-satellite IDS Analysis campaigns (Spot-2, Spot-3, TOPEX satellites for 1995 and Envisat, Spot-4-5, Cryosat-2, Jason-2 satellites for 2011). The evaluation results of these campaigns wrt ITRF2008 can be found in Moreaux et al. (2013).

Estimated annual geocenter variations for 1993.0-2013.8 were derived by least squares method and evaluated as 5.4±0.2 mm, 4.3±1.3 mm, 2.9±1.1 mm for X, Y and Z components, respectively (respect to ITRF2005, more exactly respect to ign09d02 - global Doris solution). The same values obtained for the IGN geocenter time series for the time period 1993.0-2013.8 are 4.7±0.2 mm, 4.5±1.8 mm, 1.6±0.6 mm for X, Y and Z components.
15 REPORT OF THE CNES/CLS ANALYSIS CENTER (LCA)
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15.1 INTRODUCTION

The CNES and CLS participate jointly to the International DORIS Service (IDS) as an Analysis Center. The processing of the DORIS data is performed using the GINS/DYNAMO software package developed by the GRGS.

The main activity during 2013 was the contribution to the next release of the International Terrestrial Reference Frame planned in 2014 (ITRF2013). The first step was to prepare the ITRF processing context by the definition of the models and standards. Secondly, we started to process two decades of DORIS data (1993-2013). In 2013, we also worked on the SAA corrective model for Jason-1 and developed the SAA corrective model for SPOT-5.

15.2 PREPARATION TO ITRF2013

To adopt the standards for ITRF2013 and to improve our processing some changes were brought to the set of models and in the GINS software.

Concerning the gravitational forces, the main changes are:

- Geopotential: EIGEN-6S2 (with derive terms)
- Ocean tides: FES2012
- Atmospheric gravity: 3hr ERA-interim / ECMWF up to degree 50 (Atmospheric tides: none; considered through the ECMWF atmospheric data)
- Non tidal oceanic gravity: TUGO R12 up to degree 50
- Third body: JPL DE421 (IERS conventions 2010)

For the geometry we use now:

- Troposphere: GPT2/VMF1 + one gradient per station in North & East directions
- Ocean loading: FES2012
- Tidal atmospheric loading: S1/S2 Ray&Ponte (IERS conventions 2010, ITRF2013 recom.)
One recommendation of the IDS Analysis Coordinator is to use for ITRF 2013 the phase law for ALCATEL antennas given by CNES. This phase law has been implemented in GINS and has been used for our ITRF processing.

A study has been realized to reduce the Along-track and Cross-track OPR amplitudes for Spots satellites, Jason-1 and Envisat. This led to change SRP values for theses satellites.

For Cryosat-2, we applied the CNES 7-plate macromodel with a SRP value which has been estimated over a sufficiently long period.

A correction has been brought in the GINS software to take into account the last changes (beginning in 2012) of the orientation of solar panel for Spot-5 (GINS version used is the 13-2d2).

We added Jason-1 with SAA corrected data in the multi-satellite solution for a period defined at the last AWG (see below). For Spot-5, we used SAA-corrected data since January 2006.

15.3 DATA PROCESSING AND PRODUCTS DELIVERED TO IDS

15.3.1 STANDARD ROUTINELY PROCESSING

In 2013, we continued to routinely provide the lcawd32 series by delivering to the IDS Combination Center the multi-satellite solutions (lcawd32 SINEX) and orbits files in sp3c at the IDS Data Centers for each DORIS mission since their beginning, including Jason-1. These are DORIS+SLR mixed orbits for ENVISAT, Topex/Poseidon, Cryosat-2, HY-2A and the two Jason satellites, and DORIS-only orbits for the SPOT satellites. The generic name of these series is lca02.sp3.

Coordinates time series of each station expressed in ITRF2008 are available in STCD format and as GIF plots at IDS Data Centers. They also can be seen with the web tool of the IDS at http://ids-doris.org/webservice/client/stcdtool.html. They are updated approximately every 3 months.

Description files can be found at CDDIS (ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/) and IGN (ftp://doris.ensg.ign.fr/). For the data analysis summary, see in particular pub/doris/products/sinex_series/lcawd/lcawd30.snx.dsc

15.3.2 ITRF PROCESSING

In 2013, a complete reprocessing started to contribute to the 2013 ITRF. We processed DORIS data from 1993 to 2011. The Table 15 gives the DORIS data used and the satellite combination for the different periods.
### Table 15. Multi-satellite combination used for IDS solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Topex</th>
<th>Spot2</th>
<th>Spot3</th>
<th>Spot4</th>
<th>Spot5</th>
<th>Envisat</th>
<th>Jason1</th>
<th>Jason2</th>
<th>Cryosat2</th>
<th>Satellite combination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993/01-1994/01</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s2t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s2t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994/02-1996/10</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s2s3t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s2s3t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996/11-1998/04</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s2t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s2t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998/05-2001/12</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s2s4t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s2s4t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002/01-2004/10</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>s2s45eteJc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s2s45eteJc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004/11-2008/06</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>s2s455eJcj</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s2s455eJcj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/07-2010/05</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>s2s455eJc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s2s455eJc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/06-2012/04</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>s4s5eJc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s4s5eJc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/04-2013/06</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>s4s5Jc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s4s5Jc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013/06-2013/12</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>s5Jc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>s5Jc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(s2/3/4/5 = SPOT-2/3/4/5, t = Topex, e = Envisat, J = Jason-2, c = Cryosat-2, j = Jason-1)

Note, for Jason-1 we compute new data set including SAA model correction from end of TOPEX (Nov. 2004) to start of Jason-2 (July 2008). For SPOT5, since January 2006 we consider new data set including SAA model correction.

The IDS Combination Center has done a first analysis of our multi-satellite solution lcawd40. On the Figure 18 the results of the comparison to the ITRF 2008 obtained with CATREF software. In addition of the usual results (WRMS, scale, Translations Tx, Ty, Tz, …) the percentage of STAREC Antenna stations used to calculate the 7 Helmert parameters is given.

The first result interesting in this figure is to see the bias on the scale compared to ITRF2008 which is explained by the application of the phase law.

The periodic long term signal of 18,6 years on the translations Tx and Tz is clearly reduced with the lcawd40 solution.

On Figure 18 we see the different time periods corresponding to the change of satellite number in the constellation (vertical blue lines). We distinguish in particular two periods, before and after 2002 (introduction of the 2G instruments with Spot-5, Envisat and Jason-1).
15.4 SAA CORRECTING MODELS

In the context of the forthcoming ITRF 2013, the LCA AC had to deliver the corrected measurements by the SAA models for Jason-1 and Spot-5 during the period defined at the last AWG at Toulouse and Washington.

15.4.1 JASON1 SAA MODEL

First, for Jason-1, we looked the positive impact of the integration of the Jason-1 corrected by the SAA model on the multi-satellite positioning. Then, we proposed a strategy to add Jason-1 in the multi-satellite solution by renaming SAA station parameters (positions and troposphere). We proposed the period from end of TOPEX (Nov. 2004) to start of Jason-2 (July 2008).

In 2013, the use of maps of energetic particles obtained by the dosimeter CARMEN on-board of Jason-2 has been investigated to improve the SAA model. The first work was to define the energy band the most correlate with the one of SAA Jason-1. The next step will be to use this map to determine the model parameters (planned in 2014).
15.4.2 SPOT5 SAA MODEL

Collaboration was initiated with Petr Stepanek (GOP) to elaborate and evaluate the final SAA model for Spot-5. Some SAA maps at the altitude of SPOT-5 (830 kms) have been performed. The map (see Figure 19) which has been determined with DORIS data from 2009 to 2011 has been used to estimate the parameters of the model.

We also showed the positive impact on the orbit and on the positioning of the Spot-5 SAA corrected model.

![Spot-5 SAA map](image)

Figure 19. Spot-5 SAA map (1° x 1°)

15.4.3 DELIVERING SAA-CORRECTED DORIS2.2 MEASUREMENTS

First, it is recalled that the corrective model for Jason-1 DORIS Doppler data is available on the CB ftp site under:


The Jason-1 doris2.2 data files corrected by the SAA model from cycle number 104 to 241 (from 2004/11/01 to 2008/07/31) are available on the ftp data centers:

ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/doris/campdata/saacorrection/ja1

ftp://doris.ign.fr/pub/doris/campdata/saacorrection/ja1

as well as ftp://doris.ensg.eu/pub/doris/campdata/saacorrection/ja1
The name of files is ja1dataCCC.saa.Z (CCC for the cycle number).

The Spot-5 doris2.2 data files corrected by the SAA model from cycle number 138 to 432 (from 2005/12/27 to 2014/01/09) are available on the ftp data centers:

ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/doris/campdata/saacorrection/sp5/
ftp://doris.ign.fr/pub/doris/campdata/saacorrection/sp5
as well as ftp://doris.ensg.eu/pub/doris/campdata/saacorrection/sp5

The name of files is sp5dataCCC.saa.Z (CCC for the Arc(cycle) number).

15.5 CONTRIBUTION TO IDS AWG MEETINGS
The Analysis Center’s representatives participated in 2013 to the AWG meetings in Toulouse and Washington. They presented the following works:

AWG Toulouse

- LCA Analyses Center Updates

- SAA corrective model for Jason-1 and for Spot-5

AWG Washington

- ITRF 2013 Status for LCA AC

- LCA Cryosat-2 macromodel tests

- Model Assessment

- Plan for delivery schedule for LCA AC
16 DORIS-RELATED ACTIVITIES AT GFZ
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16.1 INTRODUCTION
The activities performed at GFZ in 2013 related to DORIS data processing included further updates of GFZ’s EPOS-OC software used for Precise Orbit Determination (POD) of DORIS satellites, tests of new time variable geopotential models developed by GFZ and CNES/GRGS, a test of the new Envisat DORIS 2.2 data set delivered by CNES on May 16, 2012, computation and detailed analysis of precise orbits of TOPEX/Poseidon (1992-2005) and Envisat (2002-2012), and preparations for Jason-1 and Jason-2 POD.

16.2 SOFTWARE UPDATES
The following updates of the EPOS-OC software and input files used for POD of DORIS satellites were performed:


- A computer program to transform Envisat, Jason-1 and Jason-2 mass and center-of-mass corrections from the CNES to the EPOS-OC format was written.

- The Jason-1 macro-model implementation in the EPOS-OC software was verified.

- A script to compute non-overlapping SP3 files on the basis of overlapping ones was prepared.

- The EPOSIN software used for the generation of the EPOS-OC input files for altimetry satellite POD was adopted to Linux.

- A test on using a geocenter motion model for Envisat POD was performed.

- A test on estimating solar radiation scaling factor for Envisat POD was done.
16.3 PRECISE ORBITS OF DORIS SATELLITES - TESTS OF TIME VARIABLE GEOPOTENTIAL MODELS

Precise orbits of TOPEX/Poseidon (September 23, 1992 to October 8, 2005) and Envisat (April 12, 2002 to April 8, 2012) were computed in the ITRF2008 reference frame using SLR and DORIS measurements and the models based mainly on the IERS Conventions 2010, but six different geopotential models: stationary models EIGEN-GL04S and EIGEN-6S_stat, and four time variable geopotential models - EIGEN-6S_correct, EIGEN-6S2, EIGEN-6S2A and EIGEN-6S2B. The results of the influence of time variable geopotential models on precise orbits of altimetry satellites, global and regional mean sea level trends are included in the paper (Rudenko et al. 2014). The best among these orbit solutions computed using the EIGEN-6S2 geopotential model are made available via anonymous ftp at

ftp://ftp.gfz-potsdam.de/pub/home/kg/orbit/SLCCI/TOPX/VER6_CCI05/ for TOPEX/Poseidon

and


A description of these orbit solutions is available also as file

ftp://ftp.gfz-potsdam.de/pub/home/kg/orbit/SLCCI/Readme_GFZ_SLCCI_orbits

The RMS fits of SLR and DORIS measurements per arc obtained for TOPEX/Poseidon VER6 orbit computed using the EIGEN-6S2 geopotential model are shown in Figure 20.

Figure 20. RMS fits of SLR (left) and DORIS (right) measurements per arc for TOPEX/Poseidon VER6 orbit from September 23, 1992 till October 8, 2005.
16.4 TEST OF THE NEW ENVISAT DORIS 2.2 DATA SET

A test of the Envisat DORIS 2.2 data set delivered by CNES on May 16, 2012 for arcs 001-255 and 901-907, 910 covering the time interval from April 10, 2002 till May 8, 2007 was performed. Precise orbits of Envisat were computed in the ITRF2008 terrestrial reference frame using the models based mainly on the IERS Conventions (2010), but using two different data sets of Envisat DORIS data: the old one and the new one. The results show, that the SLR RMS fits increased by 0.2 mm (1.6%) from 1.28 to 1.30 cm (Figure 21, left) and DORIS RMS fits increased by 0.012 mm/s (2.8%) from 0.4316 to 0.4436 mm/s (Figure 21, right), when using the new DORIS data set. At the same time, the mean number of DORIS observations accepted increased by 0.23% only from 44652 to 44754 (Figure 22). The single-satellite altimetry crossover analysis however shows that the average value of the RMS of crossover differences decreased by about 0.2% from 6.025 cm to 6.012 cm. At the same time the average value of the mean of crossover differences increased by about 1.9% from 0.365 cm to 0.372 cm. In summary the new DORIS 2.2 data set does not seem to bring a clear improvement in orbit quality.

Figure 21. The RMS fits of SLR (left) and DORIS (right) measurements per arc obtained using the old and new DORIS data sets for Envisat.
16.5 FUTURE PLANS

It is planned to complete the Jason-1 and Jason-2 specific models and to implement models for Cryosat-2 and SARAL/Altika missions and to compute precise orbits of these satellites.
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Figure 22. The number of DORIS measurements accepted per arc for the Envisat CCI08 orbit computed using the old DORIS data set and for the CCI10 orbit derived using the new DORIS data set.
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APPENDIX 1: THE IDS INFORMATION SYSTEM

1. WHAT AND WHERE

IDS has three data/information centers:

CB: the Central Bureau web and ftp sites at CLS

DC: the Data Center(s): * CDDIS: web and ftp sites * IGN: ftp site

AC: the Analysis Coordinator webpages on the CB web site

The baseline storage rules are as follows:

DC store observational data and products + formats and analysis descriptions.

CB produces/stores/maintains basic information on the DORIS system, including various standard models (satellites, receivers, signal, reference frames, etc).

AC refers to CB and DC information on the data and modelling, and generates/stores analyses of the products.

Two criteria are considered for deciding where files are stored/maintained:

1. the responsibility on their content and updating,
2. the easiness of user access.

Data-directed software is stored and maintained at the CB, analysis-directed software is stored/maintained, or made accessible through the AC webpages.

To avoid information inconsistencies, duplication is minimized. Logical links and cross referencing between the three types of information centers is systematically used.

A description of the data structure and formats is available at:

2. WEB AND FTP SITES

2.1 IDS WEB SITE

address: http://ids-doris.org (or http://www.ids-doris.org)

The IDS web site gives general information on the Service, provides access to the DORIS system pages on the AVISO web site, and hosts the Analysis Coordination pages.

It is composed of three parts:

- “IDS” describes the organization of the service and includes documents, access to the data and products, event announcements, contacts and links.

- “DORIS System” allows to access general description of the system, and gives information about the system monitoring and the tracking network.

- “Analysis Coordination” provides information and discussion areas about the analysis strategies and models used in the IDS products. It is maintained by the Analysis Coordinator with the support of the Central Bureau.

It is supplemented by a site map, a glossary, FAQs, a history of site updates, news on the IDS and news on DORIS.

The main headings of the “IDS” parts are:

- Organization: structure of the service, terms of reference, components

- Data and Products: information and data center organization, access information to the IDS Data Centers and to the Central Bureau ftp site.

- Document: a link to this regularly-visited page of the Analysis Coordination

- Meetings: calendars of the meetings organized by IDS or relevant for IDS, as well as links to calendars of other international services and organizations.

- Reports and Mails: documents of the IDS components, DORIS bibliography including DORIS-related peer-reviewed publications and citation rules, meeting presentations, mail system messages, etc.

- Contacts and links: IDS contacts, directory, list of websites related to IDS activities

- Gallery (photo albums from local teams and IDS meetings).
The headings of the “DORIS system” part are:

- Official website: a description of the DORIS system on the AVISO web site
- Network: Site logs, station coordinate time series, maps, network on Google Earth
- System monitoring: DORIS system events file, station events file, station performance plots from the CNES MOE and POE processings, list of events impacting the data, list of earthquakes close to DORIS sites.
- Plot tools: STCD tool, POE tool

The headings of the “Analysis Coordination” part are:

- Presentation: a brief description of this section
- Documents: about the DORIS system’s components (space segment, ground segment, stations, observations), the models used for the analysis, the products and their availability. A direct access to this regularly-visited page is also given in the “IDS” part.
- DORIS related events: history of the workshops, meetings, analysis campaigns...
- Discussion: archive of the discussions before the opening of the forum
- Software: a couple of software provided by the Analysis Coordinator.

DORIS and IDS news as well as site updates are accessible from the Home page. Important news is displayed in the new box “Highlights”. The lists of news about the DORIS system and IDS activities (also widely distributed through the DORISmails) are resumed respectively in the two headings “What’s new on DORIS” (http://ids-doris.org/doris-news.html) and “What’s new on IDS” (http://ids-doris.org/ids-news.html). The history of the updates of the website is given in “Site updates” (http://ids-doris.org/site-updates.html).

The IDS web site is maintained by the Central Bureau.

2.2 IDS FTP SERVER


The IDS ftp server gives information on the DORIS system, and provides analysis results from the Analysis Coordination’s combination center.

The documents available concern:
- the centers: presentation and analysis strategy of the ACs;
- the DORIS data: format description 1.0, 2.1, 2.2, and RINEX, POE configuration for GDRB and GDRC altimetry products from Jason-1 and Envisat, on-board programming and POE pre-processing history;
- the dorimails and dorisreports: archive of the messages in text format, and indexes;
- the products: format of eop, geoc, iono, snx, sp1, sp3, stcd;
- the satellites: macromodels, nominal attitude model, center of mass and center of gravity history, maneuver history (including burn values), instrument modelling, corrective model of DORIS/Jason-1 USO frequency, plots of the POE statistics of all the stations for each satellite;
- the stations: sitelogs, ties, seismic events around the DORIS station network, ITRF2000, antennas description, beacon RF characteristics, information about the frequency shifts of the 3rd generation beacon, IDS recommendations for ITRF2005, Jason and Spot-4 visibility, station events, plots of the POE statistics of all the satellites for each station, document about the interface specification between the DORIS Network beacons and the onboard instrument;
- the combinations: analysis results from Analysis Coordination’s combination center (internal validation of each individual Analysis Center time series, weekly combination), IDS combination for the DORIS contribution to ITRF2008.
- ancillary data such as bus quaternions and solar panel angles of Jason-1 and Jason-2

The IDS ftp site is maintained by the Central Bureau.

There is a mirror site at CDDIS ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/doris/cb_mirror/ and at IGN ftp://doris.ensg.ign.fr/pub/doris/cb_mirror/

2.3 DORIS WEB SITE

address: http://www.aviso.altimetry.fr/en/techniques/doris.html (new URL)

The official DORIS web site is hosted by the Aviso website which is dedicated to altimetry, orbitography and precise location missions. The DORIS pages present the principle of the system, its description (instruments onboard, ground beacons, control and processing center, system evolutions, Diode navigator), the applications and the missions. The site is maintained by the Aviso webmaster with the support of the IDS Central Bureau.
2.4 DATA CENTERS’ WEB SITES

Data and products, formats and analysis descriptions are stored at the CDDIS and IGN Data Centers. A detailed description is given in the report of the Data flow Coordinator.

Address of the CDDIS web site: http://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov

Address of the CDDIS ftp site: ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/doris/

Address of the IGN ftp site: ftp://doris.ensg.ign.fr/pub/doris/

3. THE MAIL SYSTEM

The mail system of the IDS is one of its main communication tools. Depending on the kind of the information, mails are distributed through the DORISmail, DORISreport, DORISstations or IDS.analysis.forum. The mails of these four lists are all archived on the mailing list server of CLS. Back-up archives of the text files are also available on the Central Bureau ftp server for the DORISmails and the DORISreports.

A description of the mailing lists can be found on the IDS web site on the page: http://ids-doris.org/report/mails.html

Dedicated mailing lists were also created for the Central Bureau, the Governing Board and the Analysis Working Group, but without archive system.

3.1 DORISMAIL

e-mail: dorismail@ids-doris.org

The DORISmails are used to distribute messages of general interest to the users’ community (subscribers). The messages concern:

- Network evolution: installation, renovation…
- Data delivery: lack of data, maneuver files
- Satellite status
- Status of the Data Centers
- Meeting announcements
- Calls for participation
- delivery by Analysis Centers
- etc…

The messages are moderated by the Central Bureau.
They are all archived on the mailing list server of CLS at the following address: http://lists.ids-doris.org/sympa/arc/dorismail

They are also available in text format on the IDS ftp site: ftp://ftp.ids-doris.org/pub/ids/dorismail/

3.2 DORISREPORT

e-mail: dorisreport@ids-doris.org

This list is used for regular reports from Analysis Centers, from the Analysis coordination and from the CNES POD team. The DORISReport distribution list is composed by Analysis Centers, Data Centers, IDS Governing Board and Central Bureau, CNES POD people delivering data to the Data Centers (subscribers).

They are all archived on the mailing list server of CLS at the following address:

http://lists.ids-doris.org/sympa/arc/dorisreport

They are also available in text format on the IDS ftp site:


The list is moderated by the Central Bureau and the CNES POD people.

3.3 DORISSTATIONS

e-mail: dorisstations@ids-doris.org

This mailing list has been opened to distribute information about station events (data gap, positioning discontinuities).

The messages are archived on the mailing list server of CLS at the following address:


The archive contains also the mails distributed on the analysis forum before the creation of the dedicated list.

3.4 IDS ANALYSIS FORUM

e-mail: ids.analysis.forum@ids-doris.org
In order to share in the present, and secure for the future, information, questions and answers on the problems encountered in the DORIS data analysis, the Analysis Coordinator with the support of the Central Bureau initiated the IDS Analysis Forum. This a list for discussion of DORIS data analysis topics (stations, satellites, DORIS instruments, data, analysis, orbits, EOP, products) moderated by the Analysis Coordination.

The messages are all archived on the mailing list server of CLS at the following address:

http://lists.ids-doris.org/sympa/arc/ids.analysis.forum

Previous to the creation of forum, the Analysis Coordinator has collected 68 messages of conversion between analysts in an archive that can be viewed at http://www.ids-doris.org/analysis-discussion.html

### 3.5 OTHER MAILING LISTS

- **ids.central.bureau@ids-doris.org**: list of the Central Bureau
- **ids.governing.board@ids-doris.org**: list of the Governing Board
- **ids.cbgb@ids-doris.org**: common list for the Central Bureau and the Governing Board. This list is private.
- **ids.awg@ids-doris.org**: list of people who attend the AWG, and/or analysis center representatives.

### 4. HELP TO THE USERS

**e-mail**: **ids.central.bureau@ids-doris.org**

The contact point for every information requirement is the Central Bureau. It will find a solution to respond to user’s need. A list of contact points has been defined for internal use depending on the kind of questions.
APPENDIX 2: DORIS STATIONS COLOCATION WITH TIDE GAUGES

The figure and the table below are managed by IGN and the University of la Rochelle within the framework of their collaboration on « Système d'Observation du Niveau des Eaux Littorales » (SONEL, http://www.sonel.org).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DORIS Name</th>
<th>Long</th>
<th>Lat</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Start date</th>
<th>Distance (m)</th>
<th>GLOSS id</th>
<th>PSMSL id</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASCENSION</td>
<td>-14.33</td>
<td>-7.92</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>28/02/97</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>402001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BETIO</td>
<td>172.92</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>KIRIBATI</td>
<td>22/10/06</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>730009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHATHAM ISL.</td>
<td>-176.57</td>
<td>-43.96</td>
<td>NEW ZEALAND</td>
<td>28/02/99</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>*****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROZET ISL.</td>
<td>51.85</td>
<td>-46.43</td>
<td>FRANCE</td>
<td>21/12/03</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>433001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EASTER ISL.</td>
<td>-109.38</td>
<td>-27.15</td>
<td>CHILI</td>
<td>17/11/88</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>810003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUTUNA</td>
<td>-178.12</td>
<td>-14.31</td>
<td>FRANCE (POLYNESIA)</td>
<td>18/10/11</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KERGUELEN</td>
<td>70.26</td>
<td>-49.35</td>
<td>FRANCE</td>
<td>28/01/87</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>434001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE LAMENTIN</td>
<td>-61.00</td>
<td>14.60</td>
<td>MARTINIQUE</td>
<td>29/06/13</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAHE</td>
<td>55.53</td>
<td>-4.68</td>
<td>SEYCHELLES</td>
<td>20/06/01</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>442007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALE</td>
<td>73.53</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>MALDIVES</td>
<td>15/01/05</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>454011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANILA</td>
<td>121.03</td>
<td>14.53</td>
<td>PHILIPPINE</td>
<td>26/02/03</td>
<td>9700</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARION ISL.</td>
<td>37.86</td>
<td>-46.88</td>
<td>SOUTH AFRICA</td>
<td>15/05/87</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>*****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIAMI</td>
<td>-80.17</td>
<td>25.73</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>10/02/05</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td>960001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOUMEA</td>
<td>166.41</td>
<td>-22.27</td>
<td>FRANCE (CALEDONIA)</td>
<td>20/10/87</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>740001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY-ALESUND</td>
<td>11.93</td>
<td>78.93</td>
<td>NORWAY (SPITZBERG)</td>
<td>13/09/87</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>1421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPEETE</td>
<td>-149.61</td>
<td>-17.58</td>
<td>FRANCE (POLYNESIA)</td>
<td>27/07/95</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>780011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PONTA DELGADA</td>
<td>-25.66</td>
<td>37.75</td>
<td>PORTUGAL (AZORES)</td>
<td>02/11/98</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>36002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PORT MORESBY</td>
<td>146.18</td>
<td>-9.43</td>
<td>PAPUA NEW GUINEA</td>
<td>29/03/88</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td></td>
<td>670012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REYKJAVIK</td>
<td>-21.99</td>
<td>64.15</td>
<td>ICELAND</td>
<td>04/07/90</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>10001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIKITEA</td>
<td>-134.97</td>
<td>-23.13</td>
<td>FRANCE (POLYNESIA)</td>
<td>23/09/06</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>808001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROTHERA</td>
<td>-68.1</td>
<td>-67.6</td>
<td>UK (ANTARCTICA)</td>
<td>01/03/05</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>1931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAL</td>
<td>-22.98</td>
<td>16.78</td>
<td>CAPE VERDE</td>
<td>15/12/02</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>380021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANTA CRUZ</td>
<td>-90.30</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>ECUADOR</td>
<td>01/04/05</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td></td>
<td>845031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCORRO</td>
<td>-110.95</td>
<td>18.73</td>
<td>MEXICO</td>
<td>09/06/89</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>830062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST-HELENA</td>
<td>-5.67</td>
<td>-15.94</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>01/06/89</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>425001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST. JOHN'S</td>
<td>-52.68</td>
<td>47.40</td>
<td>CANADA</td>
<td>27/09/99</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>970121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYOWA</td>
<td>39.58</td>
<td>-69.01</td>
<td>JAPAN (ANTARCTICA)</td>
<td>10/02/93</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>A--041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERRE ADELIE</td>
<td>140.00</td>
<td>-66.67</td>
<td>FRANCE (ANTARCTICA)</td>
<td>05/02/87</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>*****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THULE</td>
<td>-68.83</td>
<td>76.54</td>
<td>DENMARK (GREENLAND)</td>
<td>28/09/02</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>*****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRISTAN DA CUNHA</td>
<td>-12.31</td>
<td>-37.07</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>10/06/86</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>*****</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 3: DORIS STATIONS / HOST AGENCIES

The local teams that take care of the DORIS stations contribute in large part with skill and efficiency to the high quality of the DORIS network improving continuously its robustness and reliability.

The following table gives the list of the organizations involved as host agencies of the DORIS stations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Host agency</th>
<th>City, Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amsterdam</td>
<td>Institut Polaire Paul Emile Victor (IPEV)</td>
<td>Base Martin-de-Viviès, île Amsterdam, Sub-Antarctica, FRANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arequipa</td>
<td>Universidad Nacional de San Agustin (UNSA)</td>
<td>Arequipa, PERU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascension</td>
<td>ESA Telemetry &amp; Tracking Station</td>
<td>Ascension Island, South Atlantic Ocean, UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badary</td>
<td>Badary Radio Astronomical Observatory (BdRAO, Institute of Applied Astronomy)</td>
<td>Republic of Buryatia, RUSSIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgrano</td>
<td>Instituto Antártico Argentino (DNA)</td>
<td>Buenos Aires, ARGENTINA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betio</td>
<td>Kiriibati Meteorological Service</td>
<td>Republic of KIRIBATI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cachoeira Paulista</td>
<td>Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE)</td>
<td>Cachoeira Paulista, BRAZIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chatham Island</td>
<td>MetService</td>
<td>Chatham Island, NEW ZEALAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cibinong</td>
<td>BAKOSURTANAL</td>
<td>Cibinong , INDONESIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold Bay</td>
<td>National Weather Service (NOAA)</td>
<td>Cold Bay, Alaska, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US Coast Guard Navigation Center (NAVCEN)</td>
<td>Alexandria, Virginia, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crozet</td>
<td>Institut Polaire Paul Emile Victor (IPEV)</td>
<td>Base Alfred Faure, archipel de Crozet, Sub-Antarctica, FRANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dionysos</td>
<td>National Technical University Of Athens (NTUA)</td>
<td>Zografou, GREECE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>Observatoire Géophysique d’Arta (CERD)</td>
<td>Arta, Republic of DJIBOUTI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station name</td>
<td>Host agency</td>
<td>City, Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easter Island</td>
<td>SSC Chile S.A.</td>
<td>Santiago, CHILI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everest</td>
<td>Comitato Ev-K2-CNR</td>
<td>Bergamo, ITALY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futuna</td>
<td>Météo-France</td>
<td>Malae, Wallis-et-Futuna, FRANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasse</td>
<td>Observatoire de la Côte d’Azur (OCA)</td>
<td>Grasse, FRANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gavdos</td>
<td>Technical University of Crete (TUC)</td>
<td>Chania, Crete, GREECE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenbelt</td>
<td>NASA / GSFC / GGAO</td>
<td>Greenbelt, Maryland, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartebeesthoek</td>
<td>HartRAO, South African National Space Agency (SANSA)</td>
<td>Hartebeesthoek, SOUTHAFRICA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiufeng</td>
<td>Institute of Geodesy and Geophysics (IGG)</td>
<td>Wuhan, CHINA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kauai</td>
<td>Kokee Park Geophysical Observatory (KPGO)</td>
<td>Kauai Island, Hawaii, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerguelen</td>
<td>Institut Polaire Paul Emile Victor (IPEV)</td>
<td>Base de Port-aux-Français, archipel de Kerguelen, Sub-Antarctica, FRANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitab</td>
<td>Ulugh Beg Astronomical Institute (UBAI)</td>
<td>Kitab, UZBEKISTAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kourou</td>
<td>Centre Spatial Guyanais (CSG)</td>
<td>Kourou, FRENCH GUYANA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krasnoyarsk</td>
<td>Siberian Federal University (SibFU)</td>
<td>Krasnoyarsk, RUSSIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Réunion</td>
<td>Observatoire Volcanologique du Piton de La Fournaise (IPGP)</td>
<td>Ile de la Réunion, FRANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Lamentin</td>
<td>Météo-France</td>
<td>Martinique, FRANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libreville</td>
<td>ESA Tracking Station</td>
<td>N’Koltang, GABON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahé</td>
<td>Seychelles National Meteorological Services</td>
<td>Mahé Island, Republic of SEYCHELLES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Maldives Department of Meteorology</td>
<td>Male, Republic of MALDIVES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manille</td>
<td>National Mapping and Ressource Information Authority (NAMRIA)</td>
<td>Republic of the PHILIPPINES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>Antarctica &amp; Islands Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA)</td>
<td>Marion Island Base, SOUTH AFRICA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metsahovi</td>
<td>Finnish Geodetic Institute (FGI)</td>
<td>Masala, FINLAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station name</td>
<td>Host agency</td>
<td>City, Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami</td>
<td>Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science (RSMAS)</td>
<td>Rickenbacker Causeway, Florida, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Stromlo</td>
<td>SLR Observatory, Geoscience Australia (GA)</td>
<td>Mount Stromlo, AUSTRALIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nouméa</td>
<td>Direction des Infrastructures, de la Topographie et des Transports Terrestres</td>
<td>Nouméa, NEW CALEDONIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ny-Alesund</td>
<td>Institut Polaire Paul Emile Victor (IPEV) Geodesiobservatoriet (Statens Kartverk)</td>
<td>Base Charles Rabot, Ny-Ålesund, NORWAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papeete</td>
<td>Observatoire Géodésique de Tahiti (UPF)</td>
<td>Fa'a, Tahiti, Polynésie Française, FRANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponta Delgada</td>
<td>Universidade dos Açores</td>
<td>Ponta Delgada, Azores, PORTUGAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Moresby</td>
<td>National Mapping Bureau (DLPP)</td>
<td>Port-Moresby, PAPUA NEW GUINEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reykjavik</td>
<td>Landmælingar Islands (LMI)</td>
<td>Reykjavik, ICELAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rikitea</td>
<td>Météo-France</td>
<td>Archipel des Gambier, Polynésie Française, FRANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Grande</td>
<td>Estación Astronómica de Rio Grande (EARG)</td>
<td>Rio Grande, ARGENTINA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rothera</td>
<td>British Antarctic Survey (BAS)</td>
<td>Rothera Research Station, Adelaide Island, Antarctica, UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal</td>
<td>Instituto Nacional de Meteorología e Geofísica (INMG)</td>
<td>Sal Island, CAPE VERDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santiago</td>
<td>Santiago Satellite Station SSC Chile S.A.</td>
<td>Peledehue, Colina, CHILI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Charles Darwin Foundation (AISBL)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Island, Galápagos, ECUADOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socorro</td>
<td>Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI) Secretaría de Marina Armada (SEMAR)</td>
<td>Aguascalientes, MEXICO Socorro Island, MEXICO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St John’s</td>
<td>Geomagnetic Observatory, Natural Resources Canada (NRCan)</td>
<td>St. John’s, CANADA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St-Helena</td>
<td>Meteorological Station</td>
<td>St Helena Island, South Atlantic Ocean, UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syowa</td>
<td>National Institute of Polar Research (NIPR)</td>
<td>Syowa Base, Antarctica, JAPAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terre Adélie</td>
<td>Institut Polaire Paul Emile Victor (IPEV)</td>
<td>Base de Dumont d’Urville, Terre-Adélie, Antarctica, FRANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thule</td>
<td>US Air Force Base National Survey and Cadastre (KMS)</td>
<td>Pituffik, Greenland, DENMARK Copenhagen, DENMARK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station name</td>
<td>Host agency</td>
<td>City, Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tristan da Cunha</td>
<td>Telecommunications Department of TDC</td>
<td>Tristan da Cunha Island, South Atlantic Ocean, UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yarragadee</td>
<td>MOBLAS 5 SLR Station, Geoscience Australia (GA)</td>
<td>Yarragadee, AUSTRALIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowknife</td>
<td>Natural Resources Canada (NR Can)</td>
<td>Yellowknife, CANADA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contacts
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Chairperson: Pascal Willis (IGN/IPGP) willis@pop.jussieu.fr

Central Bureau
Laurent Soudarin (CLS) laurent.soudarin@cls.fr

DORIS System
Pascale Ferrage (CNES) pascale.ferrage@cnes.fr

Network
Jérôme Saunier (IGN) jerome.saunier@ign.fr

Data flow coordinator
Carey Noll (NASA/GSFC) carey.noll@nasa.gov

Analysis coordinator
Frank Lemoine (NASA/GSFC) frank.g.lemoine@nasa.gov