

Models and Standards for ITRF2020

Jean-Michel Lemoine and Petr Štěpánek

IDS AWG meeting, Paris, Septembre 30, 2019

- Gravity field model with a TVG component
- "Dealiasing products" (atmosphere, ocean)
- Oceanic tidal model
- ALBEDO+IR acceleration computation

Gravity field model with a TVG component

 - CNES proposes to use EIGEN-GRGS.RL04.MEAN-FIELD: <u>http://gravitegrace.get.obs-mip.fr/grgs.obs-</u> mip.fr/data/RL04/static/EIGEN-GRGS.RL04.MEAN-

FIELD.linear mean pole.zero slope extrapolation

- Other option: Proposed by E. Pavlis for SLR reprocessing

•A new static gravitational model was developed from the recent reanalysis (RL06) of the GRACE mission data using the new definition of the secular pole;

•Subsequently, a complete reanalysis of the SLR data to several satellites were repeated at CSR using the newly defined secular pole;

•A new series of 15-day $\Delta J2/\Delta C(2,0)$ are now available, consistent with the new secular pole and the new static gravity model that RL06 produced;

•A combination of that GRACE model with GOCE data and surface gravity data produced the model that we will adopt, GGM05C [Rieset al., 2016]

EIGEN-GRGS.RL04.MEAN-FIELD

- Is based on 14 years of GRACE data (2002/08 2016/06), 3 years of GOCE data and 26 years of SLR data (1993/01 2019/02).
- Contains a time-variable gravity (TVG) part until degree and order 90, and a static part coming from the model GOCE-DIR5 up to degree and order 300.
- The TVG part model is based on the CNES/GRGS RL04 series of monthly GRACE solutions
 - A description of the RL04 monthly solution is given here: <u>https://grace.obs-mip.fr/variable-models-grace-lageos/grace-solutions-release-04/rl04-products-description</u>
 - A detailed description of EIGEN-GRGS.RL04.MEAN-FIELD was presented at the last IDS Workshops:

https://ids-

doris.org/images/documents/report/ids workshop 2018/IDS18 s3 LemoineJM New timeVariableGravityFieldModelForPOD.pdf

★ The TVG part is modeled for each year as an annual bias + slope + annual and semiannual periodic components → 6 parameters / year * 14 years * 91x91 spherical harmonics = ~800 000 coefficients

Extrapolation – "retropolation"

✤ <u>Extrapolation</u>:

- For degrees 1 & 2, after 2019/02/14, linear + annual + semi-annual, based on 1993-2019 averages
- For degrees > 2, after 2016/07/15, linear + annual + semi-annual, based on 2002-2016 averages

✤ <u>"Retropolation":</u>

- For degrees 1 & 2, before 1993/01/01, annual + semi-annual based on 1993-2019 averages, slope (of C20 in particular) given by earlier analysis of SLR
- For degrees > 2, before 2002/08/01, annual + semi-annual based on 2002-2016 averages, zero slope

On this plot:

EGNRL04_V2 = EIGEN-GRGS.RL04.MEAN-FIELD with **linear** slope "retropolation" before 2002 for degrees >= 3 EGNRL04_V3 = EIGEN-GRGS.RL04.MEAN-FIELD with **zero** slope "retropolation" before 2002 for degrees >= 3



- "Dealiasing products" (atmosphere, ocean)
- ECMWF-ERA-Interim / TUGO-3h:
 - Available from 1980/01/01 to 2017/12/31
 - Time step: 3h
 - Disadvantage: update only every ~ 4 months
- ECMWF-Operational / MPIOM (AOD1B-RL06):
 - Available from 1976/01/01 to today
 - Time step: 3h, max degree: 180
 - Advantage: updated with a very short latency
 - Peculiarity: In RLO6, all tidal signals in atmosphere and ocean are estimated and removed from the AOD1B time-series, and are provided in terms of separate sets of Stokes coefficients

ECMWF-Operational / MPIOM (AOD1B-RL06) Post-Processing details:

- 1 Download raw daily AOD1B files from GFZ ftp: <u>ftp://isdcftp.gfz-</u> potsdam.de/grace/Level-1B/GFZ/AOD/RL06
- 2 Download tidal waves from: <u>ftp://isdcftp.gfz-potsdam.de/grace/Level-</u> <u>1B/GFZ/AOD/RL06/TIDES</u>
- 3 for i in ATM_K1 ATM_L2 ATM_M2 ATM_N2 ATM_P1 ATM_R2 ATM_R3 ATM_S1 ATM_S2 ATM_S3 ATM_T2 ATM_T3 OCN_S1 ; do Apply tidal wave corrections ; done
- 4 Set to 0 degrees 0 and 1
- 5 Conversion to desired format

This operation is done at CNES, we can if necessary provide the files to the CAs

Orbit tests on "dealiasing products" (atmosphere, ocean)

• DORIS: (Jason-3 from July 2017 to Decembre 2017 (6 months)

DORIS residuals

- REJ19TUG mean RMS = 0.352043 mm/s (Dealiasing = ERA-Interim 3d 3h + TUGO 3h)
- REJ19AOD mean RMS = **0.352055** mm/s (Dealiasing = AOD1B-RL06)
- REJ19N mean RMS = 0.352061 mm/s (Dealiasing = "atm.press." on continents + IB on oceans)

Independent SLR RMS of fit on Jason-3 orbits

- REJ19TUG mean RMS = **1.80622** cm (Dealiasing = ERA-Interim 3d 3h + TUGO 3h)
- REJ19AOD mean RMS = **1.79078** (Dealiasing = AOD1B-RL06)
- REJ19N mean RMS = 1.86096 cm (Dealiasing = "atm.press." on continents + IB on oceans)

Orbit tests on "dealiasing products" (atmosphere, ocean) ja3 Rad/Crs/Alg Orbit Diffrences for REJ19AOD vs REJ19TUG



24650

24700

24750

JJCNES

24800

-0.0005

-0.001 24850

- High DORIS residuals when the Sun is in the orbital plane (27708, 24768, 24830)
- Low DORIS residuals when the Sun is perpendicular to the orbital plane (24740, 24800).

What can we conclude?...

Orbit tests on "dealiasing products" (atmosphere, ocean)

• SLR test: (only 1 Starlette arc for the moment in August 2017)

SLR residuals

- RMS = **0.012149** m (Dealiasing = ERA-Interim 3d 3h + TUGO 3h)
- RMS = **0.012447** m (Dealiasing = AOD1B RL06)
- RMS = 0.017526 m (Dealiasing = "atm.press." on continents + IB on oceans)

Gravity field recovery test with different "dealiasing products": <u>1-month GRACE solution (August 2015)</u>

Equivalent Water Heights comparison (degree 2 to 90) PN.monthly.201508.oldAOD1B.grace_SLR.red.30chol.iter.shc Reference: PN.monthly.201508.newAOD1B.grace_SLR.red.30chol.iter.shc min -15.61 cm / max 7.08 cm / weighted rms 1.34 cm / oceans cm







5

10

15 20 2530 35

-35-30 -25-20 -15

-10-5



Ocean tides

 FES2014b is now available with a corrective patch on the MSf wave



For orbit computation:

- FES2014b is computed on the ellipsoide
- Is completed with Om1 and Om2 (C20 only)
- S1 is suppressed (because it is taken into account by TUGO-3h)

- <u>Albedo + IR daily maps</u>
- The maps come from the ECMWF operational model. The native resolution is 0.5°
- CNES downgrades this resolution to 4.5° and 9°
- One can use any of these classes of resolution, depending on the altitude of the satellite that is being processed. (For instance Starlette/Stella 4.5°, Lageos 9°)
- The files are daily means for albedo and IR data
- These grids are available for other IDS ACs to test or to use



BACKUP SLIDES

- The new EIGEN-GRGS.RL04.MEAN-FIELD is available for download:
 - https://grace.obs-mip.fr/variable-models-grace-lageos/mean-fields/release-04/
- ✤ We propose to use it for the computation of the future ITRF2020.
- It is based on 14 years of GRACE data (2002/08 2016/06), 3 years of GOCE data and 26 years of SLR data (1993/01 2019/02).
- It contains a time-variable gravity (TVG) part until degree and order 90, and a static part coming from the model GOCE-DIR5 up to degree and order 300.
- The TVG part model is based on the CNES/GRGS RL04 series of monthly GRACE solutions
 - A description of the RL04 monthly solution is given here: <u>https://grace.obs-mip.fr/variable-models-grace-lageos/grace-solutions-release-04/rl04-products-description</u>
 - A detailed description of EIGEN-GRGS.RL04.MEAN-FIELD was presented at the last IDS Workshop: <u>https://ids-</u> <u>doris.org/images/documents/report/ids_workshop_2018/IDS18_s3_LemoineJM_N</u> ewtimeVariableGravityFieldModelForPOD.pdf

✤ The TVG part is modeled for each year as an annual bias + slope + annual and semiannual periodic components → 6 parameters / year * 14 years * 91x91 spherical harmonics = ~800 000 coefficients



The new mean field updates the previous one over 2 years: mid-2014 to mid-2016.
Example for the C(2,0) spherical harmonic coefficient:



Low degrees

- For POD it is important that the low degrees of the gravity model be very accurate.
- A complete reprocessing of the SLR data from 5 satellites (Lageos, Lageos-2, Starlette, Stella, Ajisai) has been done from 1993/01 to 2019/02 using the latest standards.
- SLR data contribution to EIGEN-GRGS.RL04.MEAN-FIELD:
 - provides the degree 1 solution,
 - contributes to the degree 2 solution.

✤ <u>Degree 1:</u>

- ➤ This new solution, based on a long time span, lets appear a small drift of the C(1,0) coefficient: +2.6 e-11 /y ⇔ 0.3 mm/y in Z_Earth
- > And a small offset of the S(1,1) coefficient of 1.0 e-10 \Leftrightarrow 1.1 mm on Y_Earth
- The main period present in the solution is annual with a peak at 2.6 mm in Z, 1.0 mm in X and 2.5 mm in Y
- There is a second peak in Z at 0.318 y: 1.9 mm

Time series

C(1,0)



Periodogram

C(1,0)





IDS AWG April 2019

Periodogram

C(1,1)





IDS AWG April 2019

Periodogram

S(1,1)



Degree 2:

- The slope of the C(2,0) coefficient before 1993 is based on earlier determinations of C(2,0)
- The **slope** of the C(2,0) coefficient **after 2019** is based on the average slope over the recent years: 2012-2019 C(2,0) + .00048416525





IDS AWG April 2019



IDS AWG April 2019

Specific case of the C(2,1) / S(2,1) coefficients: on Feb 1st, 2018, the IERS convention for the mean pole motion changed from "quadratic" (old IERS2010 conventions) to linear (updated IERS2010 conventions). The change of IERS conventions on the mean pole implies that the CNES/GRGS RL04 solutions (monthly RL04 solutions, as well as mean gravity field model) are provided in both conventions.

* Remarks:

- There has to be a coherence between the mean pole convention that is adopted (quadratic or linear) and the gravity field that is used.
- In the CNES/GRGS gravity field models a comment in the header indicates which convention is used:

CMMNT Mean pole convention: quadratic

or

CMMNT Mean pole convention: linear

"IERS2010 mean pole"

Pole coordinates



Year - 2000





Impact of a non-compatibility between the mean pole and the gravity field model



Quality control on RL04

A- RL04 monthly time series: see <u>http://gravitegrace.get.obs-</u> mip.fr/costg/meetings/COSTGM1_CNES_external_validation.pdf

B- POD with **new mean field**: Example of the SLR residuals on Starlette in 2016



Conclusions and perspectives

A. Very important:

When using the C(2,1)/S(2,1) values of a gravity field model, one must adopt **the same mean pole convention** as the one used for the computation of the model. Therefore this information ought to be delivered together with the gravity field model by the makers of the models.

- B. The new mean gravity field model is available at: <u>https://grace.obs-</u> <u>mip.fr/variable-models-grace-lageos/mean-fields/release-04/</u>
- C. The first tests indicate that **EIGEN-GRGS.RL04.MEAN-FIELD** allows to obtain smaller POD residuals than the previous model EIGEN-GRGS.RL03-v2.MEAN-FIELD.
- D. There is also a new version of FES2014: FES2014c