IDS Analysis Working Group Meeting, Paris, 5-6 June 2008

DORIS combinations results from EGU and with new SINEX submissions

JJ. Valette¹, Z. Altamimi², P. Yaya¹

¹ CLS, Collecte Localisation Satellites, France ² Institut Géographique National, Marne-La-Vallée, France



Summary

Weekly Combinations versus ITRF2005 (EGU poster)

New SINEX submissions

- Inasan (sat model corr.)
- Legos/CLS (Envisat, atmopsh. loading)
- Geoscience Australia

Analysis of ign-lca production

Cumulative combination

Per station analysis (P. Yaya)

Weekly Combinations vs ITRF2005

IDS/ITRF2005 datum : sub-network with best σ (pos < 1 cm, vel < 2 mm/yr)

Preparation of the sinex

- Verification of station identification (dome #, mnemo)
- Rejection of perturbing stations at given period
- Verification of solution number (breaks)
- Projection using minimal constraints
- Combination with inner-constraints on translation, scale and rotation
- Iteration after per solution station rejection with high residuals

Per week combination

- Datum propagation at epoch of the solutions
- -7 param. Transf.
- extraction from the datum of the stations that are not in the solutions
- new 7 param. Transf.
- rejection of high residual stations (check of min. number of stations per AC)
- iteration with application of variance factors for ponderation

Weekly Combination with 5 ACs (2005-2007)



Weekly Combination with 4 ACs (2005-2007)





Lower residuals for inasan (need checking) Pb with inasan solutions (resubmitted)



Test 1 : Histogramms of station spheric sigmas per AC, directly read on the delivered sinex after projection

Test 2 : Cumulative combination per AC (2005-2008) Plot of CATREF variance factors per week and per AC to verify continuity eventually detect strategy changes

Distribution of spheric sigmas week 06008



IDS AWG, Paris 13-14 March 2008

CATREF variance factors per week and per AC (individual combination)



IDS AWG, Paris 13-14 March 2008

June 08 : new sinex resubmissions

• New solutions since last AWG (March 08)

AC	software	Deliveries	Update/test
INA	GYPSY/OASIS	2005 + few weeks 2007	Sat model xxx
LCA	GINS/DYNAMO	weekly (operational)	
LCA		after Dec07 & Mar08	Envisat model correct. &
			SLR CoM/CoP corr.
LCA		after /2008	no atmops. Loading
(GSC	Geodyn	2005	<i>maneuvers, input files corr. not yet combined)</i>
IGN	GYPSY/OASIS	weekly (operational)	
GOP	BERNESE		
<u>GSC</u>	GEODYN		

Inasan indiv combination (2005, new sat models)





Lca SINEX with/without atmopsh. Loading



Lca recent SINEX validation (recent changes) Number of stations TX (mm) -20 Dec 9, 2007 : correction in Envisat attitude law March 3, 2008 : SLR CoP correction for Envisat TY (mm) WRMS (mm) -20 -40 Scale (mm) TZ (mm) **Cumulative** -50 -20 combination -100 **-**40 -150

IGN recent SINEX validation (same strategy)



Combination of 4 individual cumulative solutions

AC	data span				
IGN	2000- 2008				
LCA	2005-2008				
GOP	2005-2006				
INA	2005-2007				

Versus ITRF2005 14 param. Positions & Velocities (SINEX)

Solution	Ν	WRM E	S-Pos N mm	3. U	Epoch y	WRI E	IS-Ve: N mm/y	1. U	VF	MSF
gop.snx	55	10.7	6.5	8.0	5:354	6.3	5.5	5.8	0.91	8.58240
ign.snx	100	5.5	5.3	8.3	4: 62	2.1	1.3	2.3	0.88	10.01320
ina.snx	57	12.2	9.9	13.9	6: 1	21.9	27.8	31.8	0.93	8.53440
lca.snx	101	8.7	5.6	10.7	5: 61	3.5	2.2	3.3	1.16	11.78420

> Comparisons to DPOD2005, to other techniques

Future combinations and analysis

Strawman analysis schedule (to be discussed)

- August : combination of 2003-April 2008
- Sept : combination of 1998-2003 (realistic?)
- up to end of Year ?...

Iteration if new models/strategies are needed

-since July

Analysis of the combination products (continuation)

- Per station

. . .

-Pos & velocities

Derived from times series (weekly combin.) or directly (cumulative combin.) versus ITRF and other techniques at co-located sites