

# **Doris phase and ionosphere effects**

# F. Mercier CNES DCT/SB/OR



# Introduction

New Doris instruments (Jason2, Cryosat, ...)

- dual frequency synchronous phase measurement
- pseudo range measurement
- new data format (Rinex)
- 6+1 channels

Formulation for the ionosphere effects

- properties of the geometry free combination (~ iono) frequencies are very different (400 MHz, 2 GHz)
- geometrical effects
  - antenna phase centre
    - antenna patterns
- windup
- effect of cycle slips
- second order iono terms



## **Measurements**

<u>Pseudo-range</u>: too noisy to be used (~ 1000 m noise)



Difference of the measurements, clock term cancels, elimination of main propagation effect, estimation of the iono effects



Lisboa October 2010





Biases have been aligned to have zero minimum value of each pass (passes are defined here as consecutive measurements, without interruption)

4



### **Geometry correction**

**Expression**:  $D_1 - D_2$ 



 $D_1 - D_2 \simeq -d \sin(\alpha)$ with d = 0.651 m (Jason 2)

Approximate formula, due to orbit curvature

This term can be reconstructed using the geometry solution used for the iono modelisation.



# Windup effect

**Expression**:  $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)d_w$ 

The term  $d_w$  is usually very small

~0.5 cy maximal variation for each antenna along a pass (case of fixed yaw) the effects of the receiver and ground antennas almost cancel

Effect of yaw steering, variation proportional to the satellite angle in orbital local frame example : 0.5 cy for a flip

 $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = -0.60 \text{ m}$ 

Max value ~ 0.30 m, but much smaller for almost all passes Not simple to compute for Jason 2 because satellite attitude must be known Negligible for Cryosat



## **Multipath**

### Multipath effects have been observed on Doris iono-free residuals



Iono-free residuals on Fairbanks, Jason2 cycles 1-17 (offset applied for each cycle) This is a worst case, multipath effects are usually smaller. No information for 400 MHz

Lisboa October 2010



## **Multipath Fairbanks**

Fairbanks, average residuals over cycles 1-17

2 GHz : ~1 cm 400 MHz : ?





# Multipath Reikjavijk

### Reikjavijk, average residuals over cycles 1-17

REZB mean phase residuals (m)







# Cycle slips

### Specific behaviour of the instrument

- L1 cycle slips may occur at the highest elevation for low elevation passes difficult to reconstruct without a precise model of the propagation usually 1 cycle only (14.7 cm)
- L2 and L1 cycle slips at very low elevation

difficult to detect without a precise model of the propagation, and only for smooth iono effects can be detected if they are important (threshold on possible iono variations) any amplitude possible

One L1 cycle slip may remain in the middle of low elevation passes (below ~ 30 degrees for Jason 2), effect 0.15 m not observed on Cryosat



# **Higher order iono effects**

Dependency in frequency

$$e = \frac{s_1}{f^2} + \frac{s_2}{f^3} + \frac{s_3}{f^4}$$

$$e_{2}-e_{1} = \frac{s_{1}}{f_{2}^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}\right) + \frac{s_{2}}{f_{2}^{3}} \left(1 - \frac{f_{2}^{3}}{f_{1}^{3}}\right) + \frac{s_{3}}{f_{2}^{4}} \left(1 - \frac{f_{2}^{4}}{f_{1}^{4}}\right)$$
$$= 0.96 \frac{s_{1}}{f_{2}^{2}} + 0.99 \frac{s_{2}}{f_{2}^{3}} + 1.00 \frac{s_{3}}{f_{2}^{4}}$$

Order of magnitude : worst case from IERS (models for propagation delays) 0.61 m for the higher order terms

The complete 400 MHz iono (e<sub>2</sub>, including the higher order terms) is directly observed with an error much smaller than 2 cm  $e_2 \simeq 1.04 (e_2 - e_1)$ 



# Conclusion

#### Error sources : iono effect at 400 MHz

meas. noise	a few centimeters	correction
geometry term windup	65 cm 30 cm max (jason)	easy not easy
cycle slips	generally much smaller 15 cm bias on the half of a low elevation pass	(attitude) not easy (complete prop_model)
higher order	60 cm pessimistic (?)	(?)

#### Conclusion :

400 MHz ionosphere propagation is observed in the phase geometry free combination

Typical geometry corrections and possible errors have been presented

For the estimation of the TEC, a bias must be identified for each pass using a model

Lisboa October 2010